



ACTAS Derma-Sifiliográficas

Full English text available at
www.actasdermo.org



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Skin Conditions in Primary Care: An Analysis of Referral Demand[☆]



E. Castillo-Arenas,^{a,*} V. Garrido,^b S. Serrano-Ortega^c

^a Área Sanitaria Sur de Granada, Granada, Spain

^b Hospital Santa Ana de Motril, Granada, Spain

^c Departamento de Dermatología, Facultad de Medicina, Granada, Spain

Received 30 April 2013; accepted 7 October 2013

Available online 20 March 2014

KEYWORDS

Dermatology;
Primary health care;
Presenting complaint;
Diagnostic
agreement;
Referral demand

Abstract

Introduction: Skin conditions are among the main reasons for seeking primary health care. Primary care physicians (PCPs) must diagnose skin conditions and determine their impact, and must therefore incorporate the relevant knowledge and skills into their education. The present study analyzes the reasons for primary care referral to dermatology (referral demand) as well as diagnostic agreement between PCPs and dermatologists informed by pathology where appropriate.

Material and methods: Data were collected for 755 patients and 882 initial dermatology appointments from February 1, 2012 through April 30, 2012 following primary care referral. Data obtained included age, sex, occupation, reason for referral, primary care diagnosis, and dermatologic diagnosis. Statistical analysis of the data for each diagnosed condition identified frequency, reasons for referral, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and the κ statistic for diagnostic agreement.

Results: The most common diagnoses were seborrheic keratosis, melanocytic nevus, actinic keratosis, and acne. The main reason for referral was diagnostic assessment (52.5%). For skin tumors, sensitivity of primary care diagnosis was 22.4%, specificity 94.7%, PPV 40.7%, and NPV 88.3%, with a κ of 0.211. For the more common diagnoses, primary care sensitivity was generally low and specificity high.

Conclusions: According to our results, primary care physicians are better qualified to rule out a given skin condition in a patient (high specificity) than to establish an accurate clinical diagnosis (poor sensitivity). This suggests that knowledge and skills training should be organized for primary care physicians to improve management of skin conditions—especially skin cancer, because of its impact. A more responsive system would ensue, with shorter waiting lists and better health care.

© 2013 Elsevier España, S.L. and AEDV. All rights reserved.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Castillo-Arenas E, Garrido V, Serrano-Ortega S. Motivos dermatológicos de consulta en atención primaria. Análisis de la demanda derivada. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2014 105:271–275.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: naniarenas@hotmail.com, consultadermatologia@gmail.com (E. Castillo-Arenas).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Dermatología;
Atención Primaria;
Motivos de consulta;
Concordancia
diagnóstica;
Demanda derivada

Motivos dermatológicos de consulta en atención primaria. Análisis de la demanda derivada**Resumen**

Introducción: Las enfermedades cutáneas constituyen uno de los principales motivos de consulta en atención primaria (AP), motivo por el que el médico de AP está obligado a conocer su diagnóstico y su importancia e integrar estos conocimientos y aptitudes en su formación. En este estudio realizamos un análisis de los motivos de derivación desde AP a asistencia especializada (demanda derivada) y de la concordancia diagnóstica entre médico de AP y dermatología/anatomía patológica.

Material y métodos: Se recogieron datos de 755 pacientes y 882 primeras consultas de Dermatología procedentes de AP, en el periodo comprendido entre el 1 de febrero de 2012 hasta el 30 de abril de 2012, a los que aplicamos un protocolo de recogida de datos (edad, sexo, profesión, motivo de derivación, diagnóstico de AP y de dermatología). Con los datos realizamos un estudio estadístico para conocer frecuencias, motivos de derivación, sensibilidad y especificidad y los valores predictivos positivos (VPP), valores predictivos negativos (VPN) e índices kappa de concordancia diagnóstica.

Resultados: Los diagnósticos más frecuentes fueron queratosis seborreicas, nevus melanocíticos, queratosis actínicas y acné. El motivo más frecuente de derivación fue la valoración diagnóstica (52,5%). La sensibilidad (S) y especificidad (E) del diagnóstico en tumores cutáneos fueron de S = 22,4%, E = 94,7%, VPP = 40,7% y VPN = 88,3%, $\kappa = 0,211$ y en los diagnósticos más frecuentes la S en general es baja y la E bastante alta.

Conclusiones: El médico de AP, de acuerdo con nuestros resultados, está más capacitado para afirmar que el paciente no padece determinada enfermedad cutánea (E alta) que para establecer el verdadero diagnóstico clínico (S baja), lo que nos sugiere la necesidad de planificar acciones formativas que se traduzcan en un aumento de los conocimientos y aptitudes necesarias para el correcto manejo de las enfermedades cutáneas, especialmente por su trascendencia del cáncer de piel. Sin duda redundaría en una mayor agilidad del sistema, menos listas de espera y una mejor atención sanitaria.

© 2013 Elsevier España, S.L. y AEDV. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

Skin diseases are one of the main reasons for seeking primary health care,¹ thus reflecting their high prevalence in the community.²⁻⁴ Frequency varies from 5.5% to 22.5% between studies.^{2,4,5} However, subsequent studies estimate prevalence to be around 7% to 8%.^{6,7} Skin diseases are the sixth and seventh most common diseases in primary care,^{1,5,8} and up to 60% are resolved by nonspecialists.^{2,6,9}

Primary care physicians must recognize the importance of skin diseases, accept the role they occupy in health care, and include them in their medical training, since many skin diseases are easily treated and generally do not require complex diagnostic techniques.¹⁰ Primary care physicians are the patient's first contact with the health system and should be able to correctly diagnose the most common skin diseases in their setting. They should also know the criteria for referral to a specialist and the drugs most frequently used in the treatment of these diseases. The increasing incidence of skin cancer requires primary and secondary prevention strategies to reduce incidence and mortality. The very low diagnostic agreement among primary care physicians recorded in some studies, together with delays in initiating appropriate treatment, can create considerable problems in health care.^{11,12}

Referral of patients from primary care to specialized care accounts for a considerable part of primary care activity,

since it is key to reducing costs and increasing the safety, efficacy, and effectiveness of health care.¹³⁻¹⁶

In the present study, we aim to know the most common reasons for referral to dermatology in primary care in Spain, the characteristics of referral demand, and the diagnostic agreement between primary care physicians and dermatologists. The data collected could be used to design specific training activities.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

Objectives

To determine diagnostic agreement between primary care physicians and dermatologists and to compare the sensitivity and specificity of diagnoses by the former with those of the latter.

Materials and Methods

Data were collected prospectively at the Dermatology Clinic of Hospital Santa Ana de Motril, Motril, Spain a center with a catchment population of 104 000 inhabitants in the Granada Sur health district, which includes towns on the Granada coast and in the Alpujarra. Data were collected for 755 patients and 882 initial dermatology appointments from February 1, 2012 through April 30, 2012 following primary care referral.

The primary care diagnosis was made based on symptoms; the dermatology diagnosis was based on symptoms in inflammatory conditions and histopathology in cutaneous tumors and in skin diseases in which the diagnosis was unclear. The data collected from each patient included personal details, age, sex, occupation, reason for referral, diagnosis in primary care, and final diagnosis. Data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical software package version 15. Diagnoses were coded according to the *International Classification of Diseases (ICD), Tenth Revision*.²⁰ Occupations were classified according to the International Standard Classification of Occupations,²¹ to which we added the categories *homemaker, retiree, unemployed, and student*. First, we performed a descriptive analysis of the sample. In order to determine the most frequent diagnoses, we analyzed frequencies, percentages, and the cumulative percentage of all the diagnoses made both by primary care physicians and by dermatologists. For the analysis, we drew up contingency tables and calculated the κ statistic for diagnostic agreement. We calculated the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) to compare the value of the primary care physician's diagnosis with that of the dermatologist.

Results

Age ranged from 1 to 92 years (mean, 45.55); 40.5% were men and 59.5% women. The most common occupation was homemaker (18.5%), followed by student (13.51%), and farmer (13.11%). Most of the remaining patients worked in the services sector.

The main reason for referral from primary care to dermatology was diagnostic assessment (52.5%). In the remainder, the reason for referral was not specified (22.2%), a diagnosis was not provided (25%), and the referral was only accompanied by a description of the disease (18.1%). The most frequent diagnoses in primary care and dermatology are shown as percentages in Table 1.

We calculated the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and total diagnostic accuracy of the primary care physician for the main diagnoses (Table 2). The sensitivity of the clinical diagnosis was 46.48% for the most common conditions. In the case of skin tumors, including nonmelanoma skin cancer and melanoma, the sensitivity of the primary care diagnosis was 22.40%, with a specificity of 94.7%, PPV of 40.7%, and NPV of 88.3%. We drew up a contingency table for tumors to establish diagnostic agreement between primary care and dermatology. The κ statistic was 0.211, indicating poor agreement.

Table 1 Main Diagnoses (%) in Primary Care and Dermatology.

Diagnosis	Frequency, %, Primary Care	Frequency, %, Dermatology
Seborrheic keratosis	1.47	14.17
Melanocytic nevus	4.99	8.73
Actinic keratosis, acne		
Verruca NOS, seborrheic dermatitis, dermatofibroma	3.51	5.33
Eczema NOS		
Psoriasis	4.87	6.58
Onychomycosis	6.35	4.08
Tumor	1.36	3.40

Abbreviation: NOS, not otherwise specified.

Discussion

The results showed that the mean age of patients referred from primary care to dermatology during the study period was 45.5 years. This finding is consistent with those published by Macaya-Pascual et al.²² (45.7 years) in their study on the reasons for consultation in primary care and is close to that of Valcayo et al.,⁷ (45.7 years). Mean age was close to that reported by Gil et al.²³ (49 years) in their study on dermatologic emergencies. Consequently, patients consulting for skin complaints are generally aged around 45 to 50 years.

As for distribution by sex, 40.4% were men and 59.5% women. This finding is consistent with those of Valcayo et al.⁷ (43.6% for men and 56% for women), Macaya-Pascual et al.²² (39.4% men and 60.6% women), and González et al.²⁴ (43.3% men and 56.6% women). We found that a higher percentage of women consult for skin complaints; therefore, the number of referrals to dermatology was greater. These differences could be due to a greater concern for body image on the part of women, as evidenced in other studies.^{23–29}

The most common occupations of the patients are clearly the result of the socioeconomic and demographic factors that are typical of the area where the study was carried out, namely, the Granada coast and the Alpujarra, where the population is predominantly rural. Consequently, and given that both seborrheic keratosis and melanocytic nevus are the most common diagnoses referred for evaluation and actinic keratosis and verrucae not otherwise specified are the most common diagnoses referred for specific treatment, we can conclude that a more in-depth knowledge of these conditions and the application of relatively simple and rapid

Table 2 Values for the Diagnosis of the Most Common Skin Diseases in Primary Care^a

Diagnosis	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	Accuracy
Acne	74.1	99.8	95.6	98.2	98.07
NMSC, seborrheic dermatitis, nevus	19.6	98.6	42.9	95.7	94.44
Actinic keratosis	28.1	99.6	69.2	97.6	97.25

Abbreviations: NMSC, nonmelanoma skin cancer; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.

^a Data are expressed as percentages.

treatments could significantly reduce demands on dermatology stemming from primary care and thus help to reduce waiting lists and relieve the overload in dermatology departments.

It is noteworthy that no reason for referral was given in 22.2% of cases, thus directly affecting the quality of the referral. This finding could be explained by time restrictions affecting family physicians, although it is key to a fluid relationship between both care levels. In addition, it would directly affect not only the patient's care, but also the patient's perception of the health care received.²⁴

As for the association with the quality of the referral, it is also noteworthy that on the referral form, 25% of primary care physicians do not provide an accurate diagnosis and only 18.1% describe the lesion. This is understandable, given that the patient is often referred because no clear diagnosis has been reached. In addition, the diagnoses made by the family practitioner generally correspond to a more generic ICD diagnosis, which may be admissible if the condition is considered a syndrome. However, in 6.9% of cases, in which no presumptive diagnosis was made, it does seem reasonable to think that the quality of the referral is reduced. The study by Porta et al.¹¹ reveals somewhat different figures, stating that descriptive referral forms were found in 32.7% of cases, whereas those providing a suspected diagnosis were more numerous (59.67% of the total). Physicians from old-style clinics that had not yet been converted to health centers were the professionals who least often included a suspected diagnosis.

According to some studies,^{11,30,31} overall diagnostic agreement between family practitioners and dermatologists is between 40% and 60%. Studies such as that of Porta et al.,¹¹ on the other hand, reveal κ indices of 1 and PPVs of 100% in the case of specific diagnoses such as acne, distension striae, hyperhidrosis, impetigo, hirsutism, burns, or leukoplakia; nevertheless, they do report low κ indices for other diseases such as basal cell carcinoma (0.198), seborrheic keratosis (0.19), and molluscum contagiosum (0.221). The results for tumors (basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and melanoma), whose diagnosis is particularly important, show low agreement (0.211) between the primary care physician and the dermatologist. In the diagnoses set out above, the PPV for primary care physicians is 40.7% (percentage of patients diagnosed by a primary care physician whose diagnosis is confirmed by a dermatologist) and the NPV is 88.3%. The data reveal low sensitivity (22.4%) and high specificity (94.7%): the primary care physician is more confident ruling out those cases that are not tumors, yet is more doubtful with respect to cases that are true positives. As for pigmented tumors, primary care physicians diagnosed 4 cases of melanoma, only 1 of which was confirmed. Melanocytic nevi are one of the most common diagnoses made by the dermatologist; in other words, some cases of melanocytic nevi are referred from primary care probably to ensure a correct diagnosis and thus rule out melanoma. Therefore, the primary care physician tries to increase sensitivity for melanoma so that no cases go undiagnosed and potentially serious mistakes are not made. The study data show that this is particularly true when the κ statistic is very high for the dermatologist (0.795) in the diagnosis of basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and melanoma compared with pathology reports. Furthermore, the dermatologist has

a PPV for the above-mentioned diagnoses of 88.2% and an NPV of 91.3%.

In any case, identification of the main diagnoses referred from primary care seems to be a good starting point in terms of programming continued training in dermatology for primary care physicians. Such an approach will better optimize the health system and improve patient care.

Ethical Disclosures

Protection of persons and animals. The authors declare that no experiments were performed on humans or animals for this investigation.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed their hospital's protocol on the publication of data concerning patients and that all patients included in the study have received sufficient information and have given their written informed consent to participate in the study.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors declare that no private patient data are disclosed in this article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Alonso E, Manzanera R, Varela J, Pices JM. Estudios observacionales de la demanda en asistencia primaria. *Aten Primaria*. 1987;4:148–54.
- Rea JN, Newhouse ML, Halil T. Skin disease in Lambeth. A community study of prevalence and use of medical care. *Brit J Prev Soc Med*. 1976;30:107–14.
- Johnson MLT, Roberts J. National Health Surveys for dermatology and its relationship to primary care. *Prim Care*. 1978;5:187–95.
- Steele K. Primary dermatological care in general practice. *J R Coll Gen Pract*. 1984;34:22–3.
- Lucas R. Estudio de los motivos de consulta en un medio rural. *Aten Primaria*. 1986;3:113–20.
- Lowell BA, Froelich CW, Faderman DG, Kirsner RS. Dermatology in primary care: Prevalence and patient disposition. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2001;45:250–5.
- Valcayo A, Vives R, Artal F, Eciolaza JM, Parra A, Bernués C, et al. Frecuentación de las consultas de atención primaria por motivos dermatológicos en las zonas básicas de salud de la comarca de Pamplona. *Anales Sis San Navarra*. 1999;22 Supl 3:173–9.
- Gervás JJ, García L, Pérez MM, Abraire V. Asistencia médica ambulatoria: estudio estadístico de una consulta de medicina general en la Seguridad. *Social Med Clín (Barc)*. 1984;82:479–83.
- Beauregard S, Gilchrist BA. A survey of skin problems and skin care regimens in the elderly. *Arch Dermatol*. 1987;123:1638–43.
- Dermatology in the perspective of general medicine. Fitzpatrick TB, Eisen AZ, Wolff K, Freedberg IM, Austen KF, editors. *Dermatology in general medicine*. 3rd ED. New York: Mc Graw-Hill Book Co; 1987. p. 3–6.
- Porta N, San Juan J, Grasc MP, Simal E, Ara M, Querol I. Estudio de concordancia diagnóstica en Dermatología entre Atención Primaria y Especializada en el área de salud de un hospital de referencia. *Actas Dermosifiliogr*. 2008;99:207–12.

12. Chouela DE, Brea A, Abeldaño M, Fabricio MC, Garsd A. Índice de sospecha de malignidad del médico no dermatólogo. *Dermatol Argen.* 1998;4:129-34.
13. Kvamme OJ, Olesen F, Samuelson M. Improving the interphase between primary and secondary care: A statement from the European Working Party on Quality in Family Practice (EQuiP). *Qual Health Care.* 2001;10:33-9.
14. Gervas J, Perez FM, Starfield BH. Primary care, financing and gatekeeping in western Europe. *Fam Pract.* 1994;11:307-17.
15. Sainz Sáenz-Torre N, Salido Cano A, Rodríguez Gonzalez B, Sainz Jimenez J, Valero Alonso R. Estructura e interrelación entre los distintos niveles asistenciales. *Aten Primaria.* 1989;6:170-3.
16. Buitrago Ramírez F, Chávez García LM. Análisis de las interconsultas y pruebas complementarias solicitadas por un Centro de Salud en un periodo de tres años. *Aten Primaria.* 1990;7:200-4.
17. Ferrando J. Dermatología y asistencia primaria. *Med Clín (Barc).* 1988;90:661-3.
18. Fleischer AB Jr, Herbert CR, Feldman SR, O'Brien F. Diagnosis of skin disease by nondermatologists. *Am J Manag Care.* 2000;6:1149-56.
19. Kirklin D, Duncan J, McBride S, Hunt S, Griffin M. A cluster design controlled trial of arts-based observational skills training in primary care. *J Med Educ.* 2007;41:395-401.
20. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems. 10th revision. Chapter XII. Diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue (L00-L99) [accessed 10 Sep 2013]. Available at: <http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2010/en#/XII>
21. ISCO. International Standard Classification of Occupations [consultado 10 Sep 2013]. Available at: <http://www.ilo.org/public/english/bureau/stat/isco/index.htm>
22. Macaya-Pascual A, López Canós R, López Piqueras S, Gómez S. Análisis de los motivos de consulta y de su coste en la asistencia dermatológica en un centro de Atención Primaria. *Actas Dermosifiliogr.* 2006;97:569-72.
23. Gil MP, Velasco M, Miquel FJ, Quecedo E, Martínez JA, Nagore E. Análisis de las urgencias dermatológicas de un hospital terciario. *Actas Dermosifiliogr.* 1996;87:681-6.
24. González A, Bernal AI, García M, Miranda A, Castrodeza J. Urgencias dermatológicas en un hospital de referencia. *Actas Dermosifiliogr.* 2001;92:342-8.
25. Ribera M. Urgencias en Dermatología. *Actas Dermosifilogr.* 1997;88:353-7.
26. López JL, Argila D. Urgencias en Dermatología. *Arch Dermatol (ed esp).* 1996;7:54-64.
27. Herrera M, Calvente MJ, del Cerro M, Rueda M, Fernández C, Robledo A. Urgencias en Dermatología. Estudio descriptivo. *Actas Dermosifilogr.* 1996;76:675-80.
28. Elcuaz R, Beorlegui J, Cortés F, Goñi C, Espelosin G, Sagredo T. Análisis de las derivaciones urgentes a dermatología. *Aten Primaria.* 1998;21:131-6.
29. García JM, Cageao C, del Pozo J, Almagro M, Martínez W, Fonseca E. Estudio de las consultas dermatológicas ambulatorias urgentes en el Área Sanitaria de La Coruña. *Piel.* 1997;12:233-40.
30. Romaní J, Planagumá M, Puig L. Evaluación de la validez diagnóstica y terapéutica de las derivaciones recibidas en la consulta de Dermatología de un hospital comarcal. *Actas Dermosifilogr.* 2000;91:67-74.
31. Gerbert B, Maurer T, Berger T, Pantilat S, Mc Phee SJ, Wolff M, et al. Primary care physicians as gatekeepers in managed care. *Arch Dermatol.* 1996;132:1030-8.