



ACTAS

Dermo-Sifiliográficas

Full English text available at
www.actasdermo.org



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Validation of the Spanish Wound-QoL Questionnaire[☆]



E. Conde Montero,^{a,b,c} R. Sommer,^c M. Augustin,^c
C. Blome,^c R. Cabeza Martínez,^d C. Horcajada Reales,^e M. Alsina Gibert,^f
R. Ramón Sapena,^g A. Peral Vázquez,^{a,b} J. Montoro López,^{a,b} S. Guisado Muñoz,^{a,b}
L. Pérez Jerónimo,^{a,b} P. de la Cueva Dobao,^{a,b} N. Kressel,^c N. Mohrc,*

^a Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor, Madrid, Spain

^b Centro de Especialidades Vicente Soldevilla, Hospital Virgen de la Torre, Madrid, Spain

^c German Center for Health Services Research in Dermatology (CVderm), Institute for Health Services Research in Dermatology and Nursing (IVDP), University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf (UKE), Hamburg, Germany

^d Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro-Majadahonda (HUPHM), Madrid, Spain

^e Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital Universitario de Fuenlabrada, Madrid, Spain

^f Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^g Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Alicante, Spain

Received 9 March 2020; accepted 26 September 2020

Received 9 March 2020; accepted 27 August 2020
Available online 38 November 2020

KEYWORDS

KEY WORDS
chronic wound;
health care research;
patient burden;
wound care;
patient-centred care;
quality of life

Abstract

Background and aims: The Wound-QoL is a validated and feasible questionnaire for measuring disease-specific health-related quality of life in chronic wounds, originally developed for use in German.

The objective of this study was to translate the Wound-QoL for use in clinical care and in clinical trials in Spain and to validate this version.

Materials and methods: Two independent fourth- and back translations of the Wound-QoL from the original German version were conducted, followed by an expert consensus of the resulting versions. After refinement, the final tool was piloted in N=10 patients and then used in the validation study.

Results: A total of 115 patients were recruited. Mean age was 69.5 (SD 14.5) years, 60.0 % were female. The Spanish version of Wound-QoL showed high internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha > 0.8 in all scales). Factor analysis resulted in the same scales as the original version. There were satisfactory distribution characteristics of the global score and the subscales. Construct validity and convergent validity with other outcomes (generic QoL, healing rate) were satisfactory. The vast majority of patients considered the Wound-QoL a simple and feasible tool. Mean time

☆ Please cite this article as: Conde Montero E, Sommer R, Augustin M, Blome C, Cabeza Martínez R, Horcajada Reales C, et al. Validación de la versión española del cuestionario Wound-QoL. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2021;112:44-51.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ni.mohr@uke.de (N. Mohr).

PALABRAS CLAVE

herida crónica;
investigación
sanitaria;
carga para el
paciente;
cuidado de la herida;
cuidado centrado en
el paciente;
calidad de vida

needed for completing the questionnaire was 5 minutes. Overall, 99.1 % of the participants found it easy to understand the questions and 94.7 % stated that the questionnaire suits the personal situation.

Conclusions: The Spanish version of the Wound-QoL shows good validity in clinical practice. It can be recommended for use in clinical routine and trials.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of AEDV. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Validación de la versión española del cuestionario Wound-QoL**Resumen**

Antecedentes y objetivos: El Wound-QoL es un cuestionario validado para medir la calidad de vida en pacientes con heridas crónicas, que fue desarrollado originalmente para su uso en alemán. El objetivo de este estudio fue traducir el cuestionario Wound-QoL para su uso en la práctica clínica y estudios de investigación en España, así como validar esta versión.

Materiales y métodos: Se realizaron dos traducciones independientes del Wound-QoL, directa e inversa, a partir de la versión original en alemán, seguidas de un consenso de expertos sobre las versiones resultantes. Después de su perfeccionamiento se realizó un estudio piloto y posteriormente el estudio de validación.

Resultados: Se incluyó un total de 115 pacientes. La edad media fue de 69,5 (DE 14,5) años, de los cuales el 60.0% eran mujeres. La versión española del Wound-QoL mostró una excelente consistencia interna (índice alfa de Cronbach > 0.8 en todas las escalas). El análisis factorial dio como resultado las mismas escalas que la versión original. Se objetivaron características satisfactorias de la distribución de la puntuación global y de las subescalas. La validez de constructo y la validez convergente con otros resultados (calidad de vida genérica, tasa de curación) fueron satisfactorias. La gran mayoría de los pacientes consideraron que el cuestionario era una herramienta sencilla y factible.

Conclusiones: La versión española del Wound-QoL muestra una excelente validez en la práctica clínica. Por lo tanto, puede ser recomendada para su uso tanto en la rutina clínica como en los ensayos.

© 2020 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de AEDV. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

INTRODUCTION

Assessment of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) has become a key component of managing chronic wounds since it reflects patient perspective of disease and treatment^{1–5}. Thus, quality of life (QoL) instruments have been introduced in clinical care^{6–10}, quality management^{11–14} and clinical trials^{15,16}. Several questionnaires have been validated and tested for use such as the Nottingham Health Profile, the Cardiff Wound Impact Schedule¹⁷, the Würzburg Wound Score¹⁸ and the Freiburg Life Quality Assessment for wounds (FLQA-w)¹⁹. Recently, a multinational study developed and approved an instrument deriving from the three validated questionnaires and presented data on several types of wounds²⁰. This questionnaire named Wound-QoL resulted in a shorter, better to apply and well accepted questionnaire by the patients⁴. Moreover, it appeared that the questionnaire provided enhanced validation characteristics, too²⁰.

In the meantime, the Wound-QoL has been linguistically validated into several languages, including English, German, French, Italian, Dutch, Swedish, Polish and Danish according to international guidelines for patient-reported

outcomes (PRO) instrument development²¹. Beyond the formal translation process, a Spanish version was now tested regarding its operating characteristics and psychometric properties for clinical use. In order to conduct rigorous cross-cultural research to evaluate outcomes from new treatments and generalisation of observed treatment effects beyond national borders, operating characteristics between the original German and Spanish samples were inspected.

The objective of this study was to translate the Wound-QoL for use in clinical care and in clinical trials in Spain and to validate this version.

MATERIAL AND METHODS**Study design and sample**

First, a validated desk-based translation process was conducted, followed by a prospective, longitudinal non-interventional validation study in a cohort of patients with chronic wounds of the lower extremities. For the longitudinal study, patients were examined and completed

questionnaires at baseline (T1) and after a follow-up period of two to three months (T2).

Patients with leg ulcers of various underlying causes were recruited in the dermatology departments of five Spanish University Hospitals. Inclusion criteria were an active chronic wound of the lower extremity, age ≥ 18 years and the capability to understand and fill in a Spanish questionnaire. Patients were only included after informed consent. The study was approved by the Comité Ético de Investigación Clínica del Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón code "Wound-PRO", on July 27, 2016. The license holders of the Wound-QoL also agreed on the adaption and validation.

Instruments used in the validation study

The Wound-QoL questionnaire consists of 17 questions i.e. items. Each item is scored on a five-point Likert scale from 0 = not at all to 4 = very much. The mean rating of all questions results in the global score. Additionally, the three subscales everyday-life, body and psyche were determined in the original version of the Wound-QoL²². Fourth and back-translations of the original German Wound-QoL questionnaire were conducted following international guidance¹⁵. Briefly, the original German version was submitted to two Spanish native speakers who independently translated it into Spanish. These two versions were retranslated into German by two further German native speakers. The resulting two German versions were then compared with the original version and a semantic discussion on any deviation was conducted itemwise. This discussion included the original first author of the questionnaire, two physicians and psychologists familiar both with German and Spanish language and a linguist. After refining the Spanish version, a feasibility test was conducted in 10 patients from Spain. The objective of this test was to analyse if the patients feel comfortable with the questionnaire and understand the questions in the same way. We also wanted to find out how long it takes to complete the questionnaire under routine conditions. The questionnaire appeared to show no problems in terms of understanding and feasibility. A content adaption was not necessary. Fig. 1 shows the Spanish version of the Wound-QoL that was used in this validation study.

General patient data on socioeconomic and clinical background were obtained following a specific assessment of the wound condition based on a standard case report

Besides the Spanish version of the Wound-QoL, the following questionnaires were used:

- EuroQoL five dimensions questionnaire (EQ-5D): a generic instrument reflecting health condition and quality of life in a validated Spanish version²³
- Pain: numerical rating scales (NRS) from 0–10²⁴
- The three visual analogue scales (VAS) included in the FLQA-w quality of life assessment, a validated, widely used specific quality of life questionnaire for wounds which was translated into Spanish the

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants at baseline (N=115)

	Mean	SD
	n	%
Age (years)	69.5	14.5
Wound duration (months)	13.7	27.8
Wound size (cm ²)	18.9	36.1
Sex		
Male	46	40.0
Female	69	60.0
Employment		
Yes	13	11.3
No	102	88.7
Wound etiology		
Pressure	5	4.3
Venuous	45	39.1
Arterial	4	3.5
Mixed	4	3.5
Burn	1	0.9
Tumoral	2	1.7
Traumatic	16	13.9
Post-surgical	13	11.3
Radiodermatitis	1	0.9
Diabetic foot	3	2.6
Martorell	15	13.0
Others	6	5.2
Total	115	100.0

same way as the WoundQoL (independent translation/backtranslation)²⁵

Statistical analysis

Due to the lack of recommendations on a priori sample size calculations in validation studies²⁶, the size of the study population was determined based on previous experiences and corresponds to other Wound-QoL validation studies^{27,28}.

Item distribution characteristics such as mean, standard deviation (SD), percentage of items at the lower (floor effects) and the higher end (ceiling effects) were inspected. For internal consistency Cronbach's alpha was measured. Scores above 0.70 were interpreted as acceptable²⁹. Exploratory factor analysis was conducted to test for structural validity. Difference in scores between T1 and T2 were tested using Wilcoxon signed-rank test. To test for responsiveness and convergent validity, the Wound-QoL scores were correlated with the EQ-5D and FLQA-w (Spearman's correlation). All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 23 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Wound-QoL: Cuestionario sobre la calidad de vida de pacientes con heridas crónicas

Con las siguientes preguntas deseamos averiguar cómo se siente con sus herida(s) crónica(s).

Por favor, marque una sola cruz por línea.

		nada	un poco	más o menos	bastante	mucho
En los últimos 7 días...						
1	he tenido dolores en la herida	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2	he sentido un olor desagradable en la herida	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3	he tenido un flujo molesto en la herida	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4	no he podido dormir bien a causa de la herida	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5	el tratamiento de la herida me ha resultado muy molesto	<input type="checkbox"/>				
6	he estado decaído/a por la herida	<input type="checkbox"/>				
7	me ha causado frustración el hecho de que la herida tarde tanto en curarse	<input type="checkbox"/>				
8	me he preocupado por la herida	<input type="checkbox"/>				
9	he temido que la situación empeore o que aparezcan nuevas heridas	<input type="checkbox"/>				
10	he temido golpearme la herida	<input type="checkbox"/>				
11	he tenido dificultades para moverme a causa de la herida	<input type="checkbox"/>				
12	he tenido dificultades para subir las escaleras a causa de la herida	<input type="checkbox"/>				
13	me ha resultado difícil realizar las actividades diarias a causa de la herida	<input type="checkbox"/>				
14	a causa de la herida he tenido que restringir mis actividades de tiempo libre	<input type="checkbox"/>				
15	a causa de la herida he tenido que limitar mis actividades con otras personas	<input type="checkbox"/>				
16	a causa de la herida me he sentido dependiente de la ayuda de otras personas	<input type="checkbox"/>				
17	la herida me ha causado un perjuicio económico	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Figure 1 Spanish version of the Wound-QoL (translated from the original German version).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

In the five centres, a total of 115 patients were recruited, including 45 (39.1 %) with venous leg ulcers, four (3.5 %) with mixed leg ulcers and four (3.5 %) with arterial leg ulcers (Table 1). Mean age was 69.5 (SD 14.5) years (median 73, min 28 - max 97), 60.0 % were female. Mean observation time was 9.6 (SD 6.6) weeks. Mean wound size at T1 was 18.9 (SD 36.1) cm², and 1.9 (SD 8.0) cm² at T2 ($p < 0.001$). Overall, 78 (67.8 %) of the wounds showed complete healing, 110 (95.7 %) an improvement of more than 10 % which was considered relevant.

Quality of life

Mean Wound-QoL at T1 was 1.9 (SD 1.0) and 0.8 (SD 0.9) at T2 ($p < 0.001$), mean FLQA-w health state concerning the wound was 5.1 (SD 2.7) at T1 and 8.1 (SD 2.1) at T2 ($p < 0.001$) (Table 2). VAS pain reduced from 4.9 (SD 3.4) to 0.9 (SD 1.7) ($p < 0.001$).

Internal consistency

The Wound-QoL global score in the Spanish version showed high reliability with Cronbach's alpha > 0.80 in all scales. There were satisfactory distribution characteristics of the

Table 2 Results of HRQoL measurement using Wound-QoL, FLQA-w scales (scale 0–10) and pain (NRS, scale 0–10) at baseline (T1) and follow-up (T2)

Score	T1					T2					p*
	Mean	SD	Median	1 st quartile	3 rd quartile	Mean	SD	Median	1 st quartile	3 rd quartile	
Wound-QoL, global score	1.9	1.0	1.8	1.1	2.8	0.8	0.9	0.4	0.1	1.3	< 0.001
Wound-QoL, subscale body	1.6	1.2	1.4	0.6	2.6	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.0	0.4	< 0.001
Wound-QoL, subscale psyche	2.5	1.2	2.8	1.6	3.4	1.2	1.2	0.8	0.2	2.2	< 0.001
Wound-QoL, subscale everyday-life	1.7	1.3	1.7	0.5	2.8	0.8	1.1	0.2	0.0	1.0	< 0.001
FLQA-w, generally	6.1	2.4	6.0	5.0	8.0	7.3	2.1	7.0	6.0	9.0	< 0.001
FLQA-w, concerning the wound	5.1	2.7	5.0	4.0	7.0	8.1	2.1	9.0	7.0	10.0	< 0.001
FLQA-w, quality of life	5.5	2.6	5.0	4.0	7.0	7.6	2.0	8.0	7.0	9.0	< 0.001
Pain, VAS	4.9	3.4	5.0	2.0	8.0	0.9	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.0	< 0.001

* p-value for the significance of the difference between T1 and T2 according to Wilcoxon signed-rank test; FLQA-w, Freiburg Life Quality Assessment for wounds; NRS, numeric rating scales; QoL, quality of life; SD, standard deviation; VAS, visual analogue scale.

Table 3 Convergent validity with other instruments at baseline (T1) and follow-up (T2)

Convergent validity of Wound-QoL global score with		T1	T2
EQ-5D-3L score	r	-0.586	-0.434
	p	< 0.001	< 0.001
	n	111	109
EQ-VAS	r	-0.148	-0.245
	p	0.114	0.008
	n	115	115
FLQA-w health state, generally	r	-0.155	-0.255
	p	0.099	0.006
	n	115	115
FLQA-w health state, concerning the wound	r	-0.507	-0.376
	p	< 0.001	< 0.001
	n	115	115
FLQA-w health state, quality of life	r	-0.441	-0.294
	p	< 0.001	0.001
	n	115	115
Pain (VAS)	r	0.710	0.315
	p	< 0.001	0.001
	n	115	115

r, correlation coefficient; p, two-tailed significance/Spearman's correlation; EQ-5D, EuroQoL five dimensions questionnaire; EQ-5D-3 L, EuroQoL five dimensions three level questionnaire; FLQA-w, Freiburg Life Quality Assessment for wounds; QoL, quality of life; VAS, visual analogue scale.

global score and the subscales. At T1, 0.9 % had the lowest and the highest possible global score each. At T2, 18.3 % had the lowest possible and 0.9 % the highest possible global score. For the subscales the percentage of patients with the lowest possible score ranged between 2.6 % and 12.2 % at T1 and between 20.9 % and 48.7 % at T2. The percentage of the highest possible score in the subscales was between 7.8 % and 15.7 % at T1 and between 0.9 % and 2.6 % at T2.

Structural validity

In the explorative factor analysis, N=115 patients who completed the Wound-QoL at T1 visit were included. Three

factors with an eigenvalue > 1 were found, explaining 71.0 % of the overall variance. All items loaded on the intended components and the subscales body (items #1 to #5), psyche (items #6 to #10) and everyday-life (items #11 to #16) could be confirmed.

Convergent validity and responsiveness

Convergent validity with other instruments (**Table 3**) and responsiveness were satisfactory (**Table 4**).

Table 4 Responsiveness: correlation of change in Wound-QoL global score with change in other scores

Parameter	Correlation with change (difference T2-T1) in Wound-QoL global score		
	r	p	n
EQ-5D*	0.576	< 0.001	107
EQ-VAS*	0.150	0.111	115
Pain*	0.605	< 0.001	115
FLQA-w health state, generally*	0.186	0.046	115
FLQA-w health state, concerning the wound*	0.557	< 0.001	115
FLQA-w health state, quality of life*	0.388	< 0.001	115
Wound size reduction [%]	0.317	< 0.001	115

*difference T2-T1.

r, correlation coefficient; p, two-tailed significance/Spearman's correlation; EQ-5D, EuroQoL five dimensions questionnaire; EQ-VAS, EuroQoL visual analogue scales; FLQA-w, Freiburg Life Quality Assessment for wounds; QoL, quality of life.

Feasibility

The Wound-QoL was considered a simple and feasible tool by the vast majority of patients. Mean time needed for completely answering was 5.2 (SD 6.4) minutes at T1 and 5.5 (SD 3.6) at T2. Overall, 99.1 % of participants found it easy to understand the questions and 94.7 % stated that the questionnaire suits the personal situation.

DISCUSSION

Assessment of PRO is an essential component of management for chronic wounds¹. Patients have a variety of quality of life impairments, which results in very individual treatment needs that need to be assessed and included in the treatment plan^{2,5}. Measurement of HRQoL as a key construct in the PRO concept has been recommended by most authorities and medical societies in wound care^{24,30}. The condition-specific HRQoL instrument Wound-QoL was developed and validated for such measurements both in clinical trials and in routine care^{3,4,20}.

The aim of this study was to validate a Spanish version of the Wound-QoL for language validity as well as for psychometric validity in the same project. The procedures for both validation steps followed international standards. Patients were selected in routine care, thus providing a broad sample of healthcare in wound centres in Spain.

Limitations of the current study relate to the fact that the patients were only recruited in a limited number of centres. Thus, a selection bias cannot be excluded. However, we chose patients in real-world settings of different clinics. Thus, there is no evidence that the selection will impact the validation properties. Furthermore, the instrument has not been changed in items and thus may not meet all areas of burden in the Spanish population. However, for the sake of comparability on an international level, the items need to be kept in the same manner. Furthermore, we have not

observed any elevated rates of missing items or objections in the pre-test, which would signal reduced applicability in Spanish.

Overall, the Spanish Wound-QoL showed good psychometric properties that resemble the characteristics of the original version²⁰. Floor and ceiling effects were low for T1. For T2, higher floor effects were found, which can be interpreted as a positive effect of treatment on HRQoL impairment and may thus indicate good responsiveness of the Wound-QoL to clinical improvement. Moreover, patients reported a great level of understanding and accepting the questionnaire. The time for answering the questions was around five minutes and only few data were missing.

CONCLUSIONS

The Spanish version of Wound-QoL is a valid and feasible tool with high patient acceptance to assess HRQoL in clinical care and in clinical trials in Spain. Since the current translation and validation process happened in Spain, further adaptations for other regions like Latin America need to be considered.

Conflict of Interest

M. Augustin is the licence holder of the Wound-QoL. The authors otherwise do not have any conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank the study centres and their clinical staff for excellent support. We also gratefully acknowledge our team for support in publication management, Mario Gehoff, Pia Dahlhoff and Sabine Ahrendt.

References

1. European Wound Management Association (EWMA). Position Document: Hard-to-heal wounds: a holistic approach. London, MEP, 2008 [Accessed 1 August 2019]. Available from: https://ewma.org/fileadmin/user_upload/EWMA.org/Position_documents_2002-2008/EWMA_08_Eng_final.pdf.
2. Augustin M, Langenbruch AK, Herberger K, Baade K, Goepel L, Blome C. Quality of life measurement in chronic wounds and inflammatory skin diseases: definitions, standards and instruments. *Wound Medicine*. 2014;5:29–38, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wndm.2014.04.008>.
3. Augustin M, Langenbruch AK, Gutknecht M, Radtke MA, Blome C. Quality of life measures for dermatology: definition, evaluation, and interpretation. *Curr Derm Rep*. 2012;1:148–59, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13671-012-0020-z>.
4. Augustin M, Baade K, Herberger K, Protz K, Goepel L, Wild T, et al. Use of the Wound-QoL instrument in routine practice: feasibility, validity and development of an implementation tool. *Wound Medicine*. 2014;5:4–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wndm.2014.04.001>.
5. Prinsen CA, de Korte J, Augustin M, Sampogna F, Salek SS, Basra MK, et al. The EADV Taskforce on Quality of Life. Measurement of health-related quality of life in dermatological research and practice: outcome of the EADV Taskforce on Quality of Life. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2013;27:1195–203, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jdv.12090>.
6. Price P, Harding K. The impact of foot complications on health-related quality of life in patients with diabetes. *J Cutan Med Surg*. 2000;4:45–50, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/120347540000400112>.
7. Ragnarson Tennvall G, Apelqvist J. Health-related quality of life in patients with diabetes mellitus and foot ulcers. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2000;14:235–41, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1056-8727\(00\)00133-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1056-8727(00)00133-1).
8. Augustin M, Herberger K, Rustenbach SJ, Schäfer I, Zschocke I, Blome C. Quality of life evaluation in wounds: validation of the Freiburg Life Quality Assessment- wound module, a disease-specific instrument. *Int Wound J*. 2010;7:493–501, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-481X.2010.00732.x>.
9. Lindholm C, Bjellerup M, Christensen OB, Zederfeldt B. Quality of life in chronic leg ulcer patients. An assessment according to the Nottingham Health Profile. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 1993;73:440–3, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2340/000155573440443>.
10. Phillips T, Stanton B, Provan A, Lew R. A study of the impact of leg ulcers on quality of life: financial, social and psychologic implications. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 1994;31:49–53, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0190-9622\(94\)70134-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0190-9622(94)70134-2).
11. Augustin M, Rustenbach SJ, Debus S, Grams L, Muenter KC, Tigges W, et al. Quality of care in chronic leg ulcer in the community: introduction of quality indicators and a scoring system. *Dermatology*. 2011;222:321–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000328139>.
12. Augustin M, Blome C, Zschocke I, Schäfer I, Koenig S, Rustenbach SJ, et al. Benefit evaluation in the therapy of chronic wounds from the patients' perspective-development and validation of a new method. *Wound Repair Regen*. 2012;20:8–14, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475X.2011.00751.x>.
13. Herberger K, Rustenbach SJ, Haartje O, Blome C, Franzke N, Schäfer I, et al. Quality of life and satisfaction of patients with leg ulcers – results of a community-based study. *Vasa*. 2011;40:131–8, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1024/0301-1526/a000083>.
14. Ruckley CV. Socioeconomic impact of chronic venous insufficiency and leg ulcers. *Angiology*. 1997;48:67–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/00033197904800111>.
15. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for industry - patient-reported outcome measures: use in medical product development to support labeling claims; 2009 [Accessed 1 August 2019]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/media/77832/download>.
16. Gottrup F, Apelqvist J, Price P. European Wound Management association Patient Outcome Group. Outcomes in controlled and comparative studies on non-healing wounds: recommendations to improve the quality of evidence in wound management. *J Wound Care*. 2010;19:237–68, <http://dx.doi.org/10.12968/jowc.2010.19.6.48471>.
17. Price P, Harding K. Cardiff Wound Impact Schedule: the development of a condition-specific questionnaire to assess health-related quality of life in patients with chronic wounds of the lower limb. *Int Wound J*. 2004;11:10–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-481x.2004.00007.x>.
18. Engelhardt M, Spech E, Diener H, Faller H, Augustin M, Debus ES. Validation of the disease-specific quality of life Wuerzburg Wound Score in patients with chronic leg ulcer. *Vasa*. 2014;43:372–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1024/0301-1526/a000378>.
19. Augustin M, Dieterle W, Zschocke I, Brill C, Trefzer D, Peschen M, et al. Development and validation of a disease specific questionnaire on the quality of life of patients with chronic venous insufficiency. *Vasa*. 1997;26:291–301.
20. Augustin M, Conde Montero E, Zander N, Baade K, Herberger K, Debus ES, et al. Validity and feasibility of the Wound-QoL questionnaire on health-related quality of life in chronic wounds. *Wound Repair Regen*. 2017;25:852–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12583>.
21. Patrick DL, Burke LB, Powers JH, Scott JA, Rock EP, Dawisha S, et al. Patient reported outcomes to support medical product labeling claims: FDA perspective. *Value Health*. 2007;10 2 Suppl:12S5–37S, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-4733.2007.00275.x>.
22. Blome C, Baade K, Debus ES, Price P, Augustin M. The Wound-QoL: a short questionnaire measuring quality of life in patients with chronic wounds based on three established disease-specific instruments. *Wound Repair Regen*. 2014;22:504–14, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12193>.
23. Greiner W, Weijnen T, Nieuwenhuizen M, Oppe S, Badia X, Busschbach J, et al. A single European currency for EQ-5D health states. Results from a six-country study. *Eur J Health Econ*. 2003;4:222–31, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10198-003-0182-5>.
24. Augustin M, Blome C, Goepel L, Protz K, Baade K, Heyer K, et al. Patient-Reported Outcomes as Diagnostic Tools and Clues in Chronic Wounds: Considerations for Practice. *Wound Medicine*. 2015;8:6–14, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wndm.2015.03.009>.
25. Augustin M, Zschocke I, Seidenglanz K, Lange S, Schiffler A, Amon U. Validation and Clinical Results of the FLQA-d, a Quality of Life Questionnaire for Patients with Chronic Skin Diseases. *Dermatol Psychosom*. 2000;1:12–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000017503>.
26. Anthoine E, Moret L, Regnault A, Sébille V, Hardouin J-B. Sample size used to validate a scale: a review of publications on newly-developed patient reported outcomes measures. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2014;12:2, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12955-014-0176-2>.
27. Fagerdahl AM, Bergström G. Translation and Validation of a Wound-specific, Quality-of-life Instrument (The Wound-QoL) in a Swedish Population. *Ostomy Wound Manage*. 2018;64: 40–6.
28. Ames SF, Klein TM, Meulendijks AM, Nguyen TV, Blome C, Roodbol PF, et al. A translation and preliminary validation of the Dutch Wound-QoL questionnaire. *BMC Dermatol*. 2020;20:5, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12895-020-00101-2>.

29. Cronbach LJ. Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrica*. 1951;16:297–334, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02310555>.
30. Augustin M, Blome C, Storck M, Dissemond J, Heyer K, Herberger K, et al. Recommendations for the Assessment of Health-Related Quality of Life in the Treatment of Ulcus cruris under Practical Conditions. *Wund Management*. 2015;9:215–7.