Double M-plasty for Excisional Biopsy of Suspected Melanoma

Biopsia escisional por M-plastia doble para lesiones sospechosas de Melanoma

Dear Editor,

There are 2 stages to the diagnosis and surgical treatment of melanoma. First, a biopsy is performed to obtain histological confirmation of the diagnosis; this may be a punch or shave biopsy or an excisional biopsy with a narrow margin of 1–2 mm. The definitive surgical approach will depend on the histological findings. Surgical excision of a previously biopsied and confirmed melanoma is performed via re-excision with surgical margins of 0.5–2 cm, depending on the Breslow thickness. For many authors, however, partial biopsies are not recommended in melanoma patients because of the higher risk of misdiagnosis.

The high incidence of invasive disease in lesions initially diagnosed as melanoma in situ has been widely reported, demonstrating that biopsy specimens represent only a part of the total tumor and often underestimate the true Breslow thickness of the overall lesion.

Most excisional biopsies for suspected melanoma are performed using an elliptical incision with narrow margins and primary closure of the defect. The standard ellipse is designed with a long axis 2.5–3 times the length of the outer border of the proposed surgical margin (Fig. 1A). This practice ultimately leads to larger wounds that are more complex to reconstruct and have a higher risk of surgical morbidity, as well as leaving a relatively long scar.

Although the current standard practice of wide local excision for melanoma treatment should not be compromised, the situation would be optimized if morbidity and scarring could be reduced while maintaining the same standards applied for wide local excision. To this end, when the diagnosis of a clinically suspected melanoma requires excisional biopsy, we propose a double M-plasty technique (Fig. 1B).

To design a double M-plasty, we first draw the ellipse described above. Points “A” on the main axis are placed 1 mm from the border of the melanoma, and points “B” are situated at the midpoint of the section between point “A” and the apex of the ellipse. Lines perpendicular to the long axis are then drawn through each point “B”; these lines will give 4 points “C” at the intersections of the perpendiculars with the outline of the ellipse, allowing 4 line segments “AC” to be drawn, thus defining the double M-plasty.

This technique has the advantage of leaving a scar that shows exactly where the melanoma was located prior to removal (Fig. 1C, segment “AA”), which will enable us to measure the subsequent surgical margin with much greater accuracy in the definitive excision and thus minimize the need to excise excessive tissue. For example, for a melanoma with a diameter of 8 mm, the length of segment AA would be 1 cm instead of 2.5–3.6 cm. As the surface area of an ellipse is directly proportional to the radius, the area of skin removed using the double M-plasty technique would be 2–3 times smaller than with a conventional elliptical excisional biopsy, though the standard surgical margins recommended for a given Breslow thickness would still be satisfied. In addition, the remaining surgical wound of the double M-plasty would be much simpler to close, with a lower morbidity and a better cosmetic outcome as the scar is shorter and less visible (Fig. 2).

In summary, the double M-plasty is applied as a modification of the traditional elliptical excision. This modification is widely used for the resection of benign and malignant lesions, especially those in areas adjacent to critical structures such as the eyebrow, the vermilion border of the lip, or the chin skin crease. The double M-plasty is generally used to make direct closure of a wound possible with lower tension and a shorter scar length, in addition to avoiding crossing multiple cosmetic units within the repair. When performing an excisional biopsy for a suspected melanoma, the double M-plasty has the additional advantage of identifying the exact site of the primary lesion, which will give us greater control over the width of the surgical margins. In our experience, this has resulted in greater sparing of healthy tissue, less disruption of lymph drainage, and a lower overall morbidity.
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A 91-year-old man with skin phototype II consulted with our dermatology department for an erythematous, nonulcerated papule on the left cheek that had appeared 4 months earlier and had a maximum diameter of 1 cm. A clinical diagnosis of BCC was established and the lesion was excised. Histologic examination revealed an extremely thin, mononstratified epidermis under focal pressure from numerous geographic basaloid nodules in the dermal layer that reached the depth of the dermal-hypodermal junction (0.5 cm) (Fig. 1). The surfaces of the proliferating geographic nests were joined to the stratum basale, from which they appeared to originate. The isesional cells showed mild-to-moderate atypia and were arranged in palisades on the periphery of the nodules, which were surrounded by retraction artifact (Fig. 2). Although there were cystic changes in some basaloid nodules, in others a striking cylindromatous pattern was present. The cylindromatous pattern was defined by the presence of an intensely collagenized and hyalinized stroma around the tumor nodules, with the presence of hyaline prolongations extending toward the center of the nodules, forming well-defined terminal cylinders and creating an appearance similar to that of cylindromas.

Basal Cell Carcinoma With a Cylindromatous Phenotype

Carcinoma de células basales con fenotipo cilindromatoso

To the Editor:

Basal cell carcinoma (BCC) is the most common type of skin cancer and is also one of the most common forms of neoplastic disease generally. Although it is not usually very difficult to diagnose BCC, the multiple morphologic variants of the disease can give rise to various—and in some cases compromised—differential diagnoses. One such variant is the cylindromatous pattern or phenotype, which has been described only rarely in the literature and is not mentioned in the main dermatopathology textbooks.1–3