



-ull English text available a www.actasdermo.org



PRACTICAL DERMATOLOGY

[Translated article] Imaging Modalities in Trichology: Ultrasound, Reflectance Confocal Microscopy, and Optical Coherence Tomography



E. Ortiz-Lazo^{a,*}, V. Velasco-Tamariz^b, D. Saceda-Corralo^c, X. Wortsman^{d,e,f}

^a Unidad de Dermatología, Clínica NEO, Santiago, Chile

^b Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain

^c Servicio de Dermatología, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain

^d Departamento de Dermatología, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

^e Departamento de Dermatología, Escuela de Medicina, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

^f Instituto de Diagnóstico por Imágenes en Piel y Tejidos Blandos, Santiago, Chile

Received 11 February 2024; accepted 6 December 2024 Available online 28 May 2025

KEYWORDS

High-frequency ultrasound; Ultra-high-frequency ultrasound; Confocal microscopy; Optical coherence tomography; Alopecia; Dermatology; Hair; Ultrasound

PALABRAS CLAVE

Ecografía de alta frecuencia; Ecografía de ultraalta frecuencia; Abstract Although invasive techniques such as scalp biopsies and non-invasive techniques such as trichoscopy are commonly used for the diagnosis and management of alopecia, the latter does not always provide enough information on the state of the disease. Currently, we have new non-invasive diagnostic methods to complement the clinical and trichoscopic findings of patients with alopecia; these are high-frequency ultrasound (HFU), ultra-high-frequency ultrasound (UHFU), reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM) and optical coherence tomography (OCT), which are capable of identifying structures under the surface of the scalp, while maintaining the ability to monitor hair shafts.

This review describes the main features reported with each technique for the different types of alopecia—scarring and non-scarring—with the aim of identifying those parameters that may contribute to clarifying new pathophysiological findings, while adding information to the trichoscopic examination and improving diagnostic processes and monitoring. © 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of AEDV. This is an open access article

under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Técnicas de imagen en tricología: ecografía, microscopia confocal de reflectancia y tomografía de coherencia óptica

Resumen Para el diagnóstico y manejo de las alopecias se utilizan técnicas invasivas, como biopsias de cuero cabelludo, y no invasivas, como tricoscopia, pero esta no siempre proporciona suficiente información sobre el estado de la enfermedad. En la actualidad, disponemos

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2024.12.020

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: eaortiz@uc.cl (E. Ortiz-Lazo).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2025.05.009

0001-7310/© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of AEDV. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Microscopia confocal; Tomografía de coherencia óptica; Alopecia; Ultrasonido; Dermatología; Pelo de nuevos métodos diagnósticos no invasivos para complementar los hallazgos clínicos y tricoscópicos de pacientes con alopecia. Estos son la ecografía de alta frecuencia (EAF) y ultraalta frecuencia (EUAF), la microscopia confocal de reflectancia (MCR) y la tomografía de coherencia óptica (TCO), que son capaces de identificar estructuras bajo la superficie del cuero cabelludo, manteniendo la capacidad de monitorizar los tallos pilosos. En esta revisión se describen las características reportadas con cada técnica para los distintos tipos de alopecias (cicatriciales y no cicatriciales), con el objetivo de identificar parámetros que contribuyan a aclarar nuevos hallazgos fisiopatológicos, sumar información al examen tricoscópico y mejorar los procesos de diagnóstico y seguimiento.

© 2025 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de AEDV. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la CC BY-NC-ND licencia (http://creativecommons.org/licencias/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Imaging modalities in trichology

The diagnostic approach to the different types of alopecia is mainly based on the clinical history, physical examination, trichoscopy, and histopathological study. The search for new diagnostic methods, especially non-invasive ones, is increasingly relevant, as they provide information for differential diagnosis, contribute to therapeutic decisions, and reduce the need for biopsies.¹⁻⁴ In recent years, there has been increasing interest in techniques such as high-frequency ultrasound (HFUS), reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM), and optical coherence tomography (OCT), as they have shown utility in their diagnosis and monitoring. This review describes the main evidence compiled regarding the use of these techniques in trichology, in both non-scarring and scarring alopecias.

Regarding methodology, an electronic search was performed to collect publications on the use of HFUS, RCM, and OCT in the evaluation, diagnosis, and monitoring in trichology. The review was conducted until March 2024 in the PubMed electronic database using the search terms "high-frequency ultrasound," "reflectance confocal microscopy," "optical coherence tomography," "alopecia," and "hair."

Ultrasound

HFUS is a widely used imaging modalitu in dermatology. It is based on the emission of high-frequency ultrasonic pulses (>15 MHz) from a transducer onto the skin, which records their return (echo phenomenon) in the processing unit. The minimum frequency recommended for the study of skin structures varies between 15 and 20 MHz¹ with depths between 6 mm and 10 mm. Devices emitting waves > 50 MHz are called ultra-high frequency ultrasound (UHFUS) and can currently reach up to 70 MHz.⁵ The difference between the 2 is the axial spatial resolution, which is higher in UHFUS and reaches $30 \,\mu$ m. In addition, through color Doppler mode, the speed of blood flow can be detected and measured.

In the field of trichology—normal hair follicles are described ultrasonographically as oblique hypoechoic bands in the dermis that, in the anagen phase—are located in the deep dermis or even in the upper hypodermis, while in the telogen phase they are restricted to the upper dermis (Fig. 1). In the scalp, hair shafts are reported as

predominantly trilaminar hyperechoic structures with an outer cuticle-cortex complex and an inner medulla.^{2,6} When comparing HFUS with trichoscopy, it has been reported that the number of follicular units does not differ significantly, and only their width is greater with ultrasound measurements.⁷ In UHFUS, the hair tracts are observed inside the follicles before emerging to the surface.^{2,5}

Non-scarring alopecia

In androgenetic alopecia (AGA), hypoechogenicity of the involved hair follicles has been reported, a finding whose pathophysiology remains unclear and which could be attributed to inflammation.⁸ In a prospective study of 33 patients with AGA and 10 healthy controls,⁹ shallower follicles in the dermis and a replacement of the normal predominantly trilaminar pattern of hair shafts by a bilaminar one and areas of absence of hair follicles were found in AGA.

In alopecia areata (AA), signs such as empty hair follicles and perifollicular hyperechogenicity in the subcutaneous tissue⁸ have been identified, which could facilitate the direct evaluation of the severity of the disease and thus help design therapeutic strategies. In turn, the findings recently reported by Mikiel et al.¹⁰ are interesting, who characterized the ultrasound images of 25 patients with AA and its phases, 10 with AGA, and 12 with seborrheic dermatitis, correlating the findings with trichoscopy (Table 1). In active AA. clear and widened water drop-like follicles were described; the inactive phase was characterized by a smaller number of follicles without well-demarcated borders; and in the regrowth phase, follicles of different widths, elongated and with widened distal parts, were found. Both the width and echogenicity of the follicles in AA, regardless of the stage of the disease, were significantly greater. In cases of AGA, the width of the follicles was the smallest of all the groups studied.

Scarring alopecia

The first publications that used HFUS in the evaluation of scarring alopecias showed that dermal inflammation appears hypoechoic and subcutaneous inflammation, hyperechoic, and, with Doppler, the affected areas are hypovascular,^{2,4,9,11} However, increased vascularization in



Figure 1 Ultrasound of androgenetic alopecia (70 MHz). (A) Normal. Follicles with morphology within normal ranges in the lateral temporal region. (B) Follicular miniaturization and mild widening of hair follicles in the frontal region.

Group	Ultrasound findings	Trichoscopic findings
AA active phase	Water drop-like shape Distal zones in lower dermis	Black dots Exclamation mark hairs Broken hairs Yellow dots
AA inactive phase	Without distinctive shape, decreased number	Yellow dots
	Wider distal zones in lower dermis	Vellus hairs
AA regrowth phase	Diversity in width Distal zones at different skin levels, also penetrate subdermal layer	Vertical regrowing hairs Pig-tail hairs Vellus hairs Terminal hairs with or without pigmont
AGA	Diversity in size and wider Distal zones at different dermis levels Bilaminar hair shafts	Yellow dots Vellus hairs Greater number of follicular units with single shaft
DS	Diversity in hair shaft width Wider distal zones that penetrate subdermal layers	Yellowish areas White and yellow scales Fine arborizing vessels
Control (healthy individuals)	Elongated oblique bands, penetrating subdermal layer	Regular follicular units with 2 to 3 shafts

 Table 1
 Ultrasound findings of follicular structures (FS) vs trichoscopy in each studied group.

AA: alopecia areata; AGA: androgenetic alopecia; DS: seborrheic dermatitis.

the scarred areas using microvascular imaging modalities and even greater thickness and stiffness with shear wave elastography¹² have also been reported.

Recently, HFUS was evaluated as a diagnostic method in 8 patients with lichen planopilaris (LPP), 11 with discoid lupus erythematosus (DLE), 14 with frontal fibrosing alopecia (FFA), and 11 healthy volunteers.¹³ The active form of the disease in all groups was characterized by follicular structures with morphology and parameters different from those observed in the control group; the inactive forms were characterized by a significant reduction in the number of follicles (Table 2). The echogenicity of the skin and follicular structures was significantly higher than in healthy volunteers, which is likely due to the underlying fibrosis process. Of note, the absence of follicular openings in trichoscopy often did not correlate with the total lack of follicular structures in ultrasound images. All these findings indicate that HFUS allows a more precise evaluation of when the scarring process is complete.

For its part, UHFUS in FFA has reported findings such as perifollicular hypoechogenicity in the mid-dermis, distal ambiguity of hair follicles, and undulating hyperechoic bands in the subcutaneous cellular tissue (Fig. 2). The first 2 were also observed in LPP and corresponded in histopathology to perifollicular fibrosis and inflammation in the promontory and to inflamed or destroyed follicles in the isthmus or infundibulum, respectively. In turn, the hyperechoic bands in the subcutaneous tissue corresponded to fibrous septa distributed linearly in the hypodermis.⁸ Another ultrasound study in 99 women with FFA and 40 con-

E. (Ortiz-Lazo,	V. Ve	lasco-	Tamariz,	D.	Saced	a-Co	rralo	et a	al.
------	-------------	-------	--------	----------	----	-------	------	-------	------	-----

T 1 1 0	1.117 1.1		· · · · · ·						• •	
	Illtracound char	actorictice of	tollicular	ctructuroc	and	trichaccar		ndinge	in coarring	
					and) () (
			100000	201 40041 00					111 200111110	

Group	Ultrasound findings	Trichoscopic findings
LPP active phase	Cigar-shaped follicular	Perifollicular scaling
	structures	Linear elongated vessels
LPP inactive phase	Decrease in follicular structures	Absence of follicular openings
	Saw-tooth dermal or	White dots
	subdermal border	Destructured milky-white areas
FFA active phase	Cigar-shaped follicular	Perifollicular erythema and scaling
	structures	Arborizing vessels
FFA inactive phase	Single follicular structures	Absence of follicular openings
	Saw-tooth dermal or	Solitary hair sign
	subdermal border	Destructured white areas
DLE active phase	Focal duplication of entry echoes	Large yellow dots
	Wider follicular structures	Thick arborizing vessels
	Destructured hypoechoic bands in dermis	Red dots
DLE inactive phase	Decrease in follicular structures	Destructured white areas
	No specific pattern	Thin arborizing vessels
	Blurred dermal or subdermal border	

FFA: frontal fibrosing alopecia; DLE: discoid lupus erythematosus; LPP: lichen planopilaris.



Figure 2 Ultrasound of frontal fibrosing alopecia. (A) Loss of hair follicles (*) and subcutaneous adipose tissue. Loss of definition of the dermohypodermal border (oblique arrow), increased subcutaneous echogenicity (o), and prominent subcutaneous lamellar structures (horizontal arrow). (B) Color Doppler of the same region demonstrates increased dermal vascularization.

trols showed greater vascular diameter and greater flow in the hairline area vs the alopecic band area and the control group, which can be explained by both active inflammation in the frontal line and fibrosis in the alopecic band. In addition, the diameter of the vessels was significantly greater in the healthy scalp area of patients with FFA vs the control group, which could be explained by subclinical inflammation and could be a predictor of future progression.¹⁴

Dissecting cellulitis presents ultrasound findings similar to hidradenitis suppurativa and includes dilation of hair follicles, pseudocysts (<1 cm), hypoechoic collections (>1 cm), tunnels that run through the dermis and subcutaneous tissue and may present variable degrees of fibrosis and, with color Doppler, variable degrees of dermohypodermal hypervascularization in the periphery of the lesions.¹⁵

Reflectance confocal microscopy

RCM is an optical imaging modality based on the emission of low-power laser light, which is reflected by structures depending on their different refractive indices. Images are obtained at different focal planes, allowing 3D analysis and visualization of cellular contours, as in histopathology. Its advantage is the high axial resolution, and its main limitation is its low penetration, as it only reaches depths of $250-350\,\mu$ m, visualizing only up to the superficial reticular dermis.^{16,17} DUE to the possibility of observing detailed microscopic changes, RCM is also being used in trichology and can be considered an intermediate step between trichoscopy and histology. With RCM, normal hair follicles have been described as structures of different sizes in an orderly pattern, with small ovoid or polygonal basal cells and larger and flatter central cells.³

Non-scarring alopecia

Rudnicka et al.³ published a small case series in which RCM was used to characterize some hair diseases. The structure of the hair shaft presented the same appearance in healthy controls, AA, and AGA; however, heterogeneity in the thickness of the shafts was observed in the latter.

RCM criteria for the diagnosis of non-scarring alopecias such as delimited dermal papillae, miniaturized hair shafts, and follicular keratinization have been reported (Fig. 3). These findings were published in a 2016 retrospective study,¹⁸ in which several descriptive parameters of scarring (28 with LPP and 9 with DLE) and non-scarring



Figure 3 (A) Erythematous-desquamative plaque on the vertex. (B) Dermoscopy: diffuse erythema, follicular plugs, and fine arborizing vessels. (C) Epidermal RCM: dendritic-like cells with peri- and interfollicular distribution (\rightarrow). (D) RCM at the DEJ: follicular plug (*), inflammatory infiltrate composed of round-shaped cells (\rightarrow), and dilated vessels (o).

Group	RCM findings	Correspondence with histopathology
Non-scarring alopecia	 Well-demarcated dermal papilla Miniaturization of hair shafts Follicular keratinization 	 Intact and pigmented dermoepidermal junction Follicular miniaturization Keratotic material in follicular ostia
Scarring alopecia	 Inflammatory infiltration in epidermis, periannexal, and upper dermis 	 Infiltration of inflammatory cells in epidermis, adnexal structures, and upper dermis
	2. Degeneration of dermal and periannexal fibers	Collagen fibers with diffuse sclerosis in dermis and localized around adnexal structures

Table 3 Distinctive RCM findings associated with alopecia diagnosis and their respective histopathology.

alopecias (30 with AGA and 19 with AA) were established, and statistically significant characteristics for the 2 types of alopecia were identified (Table 3). Although no significant distinctive features were identified for AGA vs AA, inflammatory cells were found in the upper epidermis and around adnexal structures in several patients with AA, which could be explained by its autoimmune origin.

In *tinea capitis*, homogeneous rounded hyperreflective structures of $5-10 \,\mu\text{m}$ have been described in the proximal area of the hair shaft, corresponding to conidia that, *in vivo*, were observed compact, while *ex vivo*, they were more dispersed.^{19,20}

For its part, distinctive findings have also been found in chemotherapy-induced alopecia. In a prospective observational study of 16 women with breast cancer, confocal and trichoscopic characteristics specific to the evolutionary phases of chemotherapy-induced alopecia were described.²¹

Scarring alopecia

With RCM, inflammatory cells in the dermis, epidermis, and around adnexal structures have been described, which is consistent with the inflammatory or autoimmune pathogenesis of scarring alopecias. In turn, 3 highly specific characteristics have been identified: inflammatory cells in the epidermis, absence of miniaturization, and absence of follicular keratinization.¹⁸ In fact, one of the main



Figure 4 (A) Scarring alopecic plaque in the interparietal area. (B) Trichoscopy: erythema, perifollicular hyperkeratosis, and follicular tufting. (C) RCM: infundibular hyperkeratosis with whitish material filling the infundibulum of the hair follicle and surrounding the hair shaft. (D) Dermis: increased number of poorly defined and thick dermal fibers.

criteria that allows discrimination between scarring and non-scarring alopecias is active inflammation in the adnexal epithelium or dermoepidermal junction, with the corresponding darkening of the dermal papillae and absence of their normal border. Another important criterion is dermal sclerosis, characterized by increased and thickened fibers arranged radially around the follicle. Although these findings are typical of scarring alopecias, they have been reported in some cases of chronic non-scarring alopecia, such as in the late phase of AGA, which is attributed to severe sun damage and chronic inflammation.^{18,22}

Regarding LPP and FFA, there is a specific study from 2020²³ in which 10 patients with LPP and 2 with FFA were evaluated, and inflammatory cells in the epidermis next to the adnexal infundibulum were described in almost half of the cases, a finding consistent with the histopathology of early stages.^{18,23} In long-standing lesions, dilated blood vessels, an increase in poorly defined thick dermal fibers, and extensive perifollicular fibrosis were observed (Fig. 4).

In LPP and DLE, characteristics that have shown correlation with histopathology have also been described. There is a predominance of inflammatory infiltrate in the epidermis and diffuse interface changes in LPP, focal changes in DLE with dermoepidermal junction involvement, and changes located exclusively in the basal layer of the adnexal epithelium in LPP with prominent follicular involvement. These findings were described in a study of 7 patients with a previous histological diagnosis of LPP and DLE.²⁴

Particularly for cases of DLE, the diagnostic process can be difficult, so the use of RCM is promising. An observational study of 12 patients with DLE found that interface dermatitis and infundibular dilation were the characteristics that exhibited the highest level of agreement with histopathology.²⁵ In turn, confocal analysis associated with 3 trichoscopic findings managed to improve the agreement with histopathology.

Morphea and folliculitis decalvans have also been reported. In a patient diagnosed with *coup de saber* morphea, RCM showed marked hyperreflective areas with severe atrophy of eccrine glands, disappearance of sebaceous glands, and a few small follicles,²⁶ which contributed to the diagnosis of early (with a predominance of lymphocytic infiltrate) and late stages (with more prominent sclerosis). Regarding folliculitis decalvans, RCM in 14 patients identified highly reflective tubular structures, bright round or oval structures surrounded by a regular border, and interfollicular hyperkeratosis, corresponding in trichoscopy to tufted hairs, pustules, and scales or crusts, respectively.^{27,28}

Optical coherence tomography

OCT is an imaging modality that uses broadband nearinfrared light to detect backscattered photons at different depths and, with this, creates high-resolution crosssectional images. Its use has spread in dermatology as it allows visualization of cellular and morphological changes up to 2–3 mm deep.²⁹ In trichology, it has recently been incorporated as a diagnostic tool. It shows the scalp as a bright surface and hair shafts as dark and hollow lumens

Tuble 1	Main Infangs with our in the statica groups.
Group	Tomographic characteristics
Control	long cylindrical tubular structures with uniform light ab

Control	Long cylindrical tubular structures with uniform light absorption that cast well-formed shadows. All hairs have similar diameters.
	The dermoepidermal junction is easily identifiable.
Non-scarring alopecia	AGA: Many vellus hairs, easily identifiable dermoepidermal junction, and unaltered epidermis.
	Patchy AA: Follicular openings with terminal and some vellus hairs, easily visible dermoepidermal
	junction, and thinner epidermis. Universal AA: Follicular openings without hairs, reflective scalp
	surface, but easily identifiable dermoepidermal junction and thinner epidermis.
Scarring alopecia	AFF: Many single hairs, no vellus hairs, and large areas without follicular openings.
	The dermoepidermal junction is clearly defined, and the epidermis is thickened. LPP: Large areas of
	fibrosis, many solitary terminal hairs, thickened epidermis, and diminished dermoepidermal
	junction.

within which there are bright and reflective rings.⁴ Its advantage is the high resolution, similar to that of UHFUS, and its main limitation is the low penetration, as it does not allow observation of the complete subcutaneous cellular tissue.

Table 4 Main findings with OCT in the studied groups

Non-scarring alopecias

To determine hair morphology and vitality with OCT, 2 parameters are used that allow comparisons and analyses in studies: cross-section (CS) and form factor (FF), the latter determined by the ratio of the maximum and minimum diameters of the hair. The rate of variation in CS in healthy volunteers is reportedly < 3%, while the corresponding FF varies by $\leq 4\%^{30}$ and has been observed to worsen in patients undergoing chemotherapy³¹ and with AA.³²

The examination of 20 hairs from the frontal and occipital areas, *ex vivo*, in women with breast cancer on tamoxifen (17) *vs* chemotherapy (17) showed that the mean diameter after chemotherapy was 15% lower and the CS was significantly smaller than in the hairs obtained prior to therapy; although the FF did not vary for the frontal area, it did in the occipital area. No changes in CS or FF were observed in patients on tamoxifen.

García Bartels et al.³² published morphological changes detected with OCT in 9 patients with AA. The CS in the alopecic patches was significantly smaller vs unaffected areas; however, the FF did not show significant differences. These results indicate that structural abnormalities of the shafts are found only in active AA lesions. Another publication monitored the progression of 2 patients with patchy AA and 1 with universal AA treated with 3 sessions of plateletrich plasma³³. They found an increase in the length and number of follicles in patchy AA and no significant changes in the patient with universal AA. A different study on AA found that 22 out of 30 scans of the dermoepidermal junction had more follicles than on the surface,⁴ indicating the presence of growing hairs in AA that are not visible on the surface.

Scarring alopecias

A recent publication proposes an atlas of tomographic characteristics obtained from the evaluation of 6 healthy subjects, 12 with scarring alopecia, and 14 with non-scarring

alopecia.³⁴ They highlight the findings of increased epidermal thickness and decreased follicle count in the scarring alopecia group vs the non-scarring alopecia and control groups. Although few patients are analyzed, this study provides a valuable set of images potentially useful for the monitoring and management of alopecias, including scarring alopecias (Table 4).

In FFA, OCT has been used to show that epidermal thickness is greater at the hairline and smaller in the scarring alopecic band.³⁵ These findings are explained by the presence of inflammatory infiltrate and edema in areas of activity and atrophy in the scarred areas, respectively. In addition, as the inflamed areas progress to scarred areas, the vascular flow of the superficial plexus progressively decreases, and the deeper flow increases, which would occur due to obliteration of superficial vessels in the scarring stage with redistribution of flow toward the deeper ones.

Conclusions

Although the gold standard in the evaluation of hair diseases is histological analysis, it constitutes an invasive modality and is not always representative of the overall process. In turn, trichoscopy provides an enlarged image of the surface, hair shafts, and follicular openings, but the underlying follicles are inaccessible. Therefore, these new non-invasive diagnostic tools provide more information and complement traditional methods by evaluating deeper structures and even allowing their analysis during and after implementing a treatment. However, there are still several difficulties in adopting the use of all these techniques in trichology, such as high costs, learning curve for the dermatologist, inherent difficulty of the anatomical area, studies with a small number of patients, and the absence of comparison of histopathological and trichoscopic images. Additionally, the advantages and limitations of each imaging modality should be taken into consideration to adequately leverage the anatomical information provided by each modality.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

References

- Jasaitiene D, Valiukeviciene S, Linkeviciute G, Raisutis R, Jasiuniene E, Kazys R. Principles of high-frequency ultrasonography for investigation of skin pathology. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venerol. 2011;25:375–82.
- Wortsman X, Wortsman J, Matsuoka L, Saavedra T, Mardones F, Saavedra D, et al. Sonography in pathologies of scalp and hair. Br J Radiol. 2012;85:647–55.
- Rudnicka L, Olszewska M, Rakowska A. In vivo reflectance confocal microscopy: usefulness for diagnosing hair diseases. J Dermatol Case Rep. 2008;2:55–9.
- Yow AP, Lee WZ, Wong DW, Tey HL. Subsurface detection of hair follicles in alopecia areata using optical coherence tomography. Skin Res Technol. 2022;28:379–81.
- Wortsman X, Carreño L, Ferreira-Wortsman C, Poniachik R, Pizarro K, Morales C, et al. Ultrasound characteristics of the hair follicles and tracts, sebaceous glands, montgomery glands, apocrine glands, and arrector pili muscles. J Ultrasound Med. 2019;38:1995–2004, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jum.14888.
- Wortsman X, Carreno L, Ferreira-Wortsman C, Poniachik R, Pizarro K, Morales C, et al. Ultrasound characteristics of the hair follicles and tracts, sebaceous glans, montgomery glands, apocrine glands and arrestor pili muscles. J Ultrasound Med. 2018;9999:1–10.
- Mikiel D, Polańska A, Żaba R, Adamski Z, Dańczak-Pazdrowska A. High-frequency ultrasonography of the scalp – comparison of ultrasound and trichoscopic images in healthy individuals. Skin Res Technol. 2021;27:201–7, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/srt.12930. Epub 2020 Jul 20.
- Kinoshita-Ise M, Ohyama M, Ramjist JM, Foster FS, Yang VX, Sachdeva M, et al. Ultra high-frequency ultrasound with seventy-MHz transducer in hair disorders: development of a novel noninvasive diagnostic methodology. J Dermatol Sci. 2021;102:167–76, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jdermsci.2021.04.008. Epub 2021 May 2.
- 9. Wortsman X, Guerrero R, Wortsman J. Hair morphology in androgenetic alopecia: sonographic and electron microscopic studies. J Ultrasound Med. 2014;33:1265–72.
- Mikiel D, Polańska A, Żaba R, Adamski Z, Dańczak-Pazdrowska A. Usefulness of high-frequency ultrasonography in the assessment of alopecia areata – comparison of ultrasound images with trichoscopic images. Postepy Dermatol Alergol. 2022;39:132–40.
- Wortsman X, Roustan G, Martorell A. Color Doppler ultrasound of the scalp and hair. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2015;106 Suppl. 1:67–75.
- Kaya İslamoğlu ZG, Uysal E. A preliminary study on ultrasound techniques applied to cicatricial alopecia. Skin Res Technol. 2019;25:810–4, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/srt.12725. Epub 2019 May 29.
- Mikiel D, Polańska A, Żaba R, Adamski Z, Dańczak-Pazdrowska A. Suitability of high-frequency ultrasonography (20 MHz) in evaluation of various forms of primary cicatricial alopecia in relation to trichoscopy – pilot study. Skin Res Technol. 2021;27:774–84.
- Porriño-Bustamante ML, Fernández-Pugnaire MA, Castellote-Caballero L, Arias-Santiago S. Colour Doppler ultrasound study in patients with frontal fibrosing alopecia. Skin Res Technol. 2021;27:709–14, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/srt.13004. Epub 2021 Ene 17. PMID: 33455050.
- Cataldo-Cerda K, Wortsman X. Dissecting cellulitis of the scalp early diagnosed by color Doppler ultrasound. Int J Trichol. 2017;9:147–8, http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/ijt.ijt.2_17.
- Rajadhyaksha M, González S, Zavislan JM, Anderson RR, Webb RH. In vivo confocal scanning laser microscopy of human skin

II: advances in instrumentation and comparison with histology. J Invest Dermatol. 1999;113:293-303.

- Ulrich M, Lange-Asschenfeldt S. In vivo confocal microscopy in dermatology: from research to clinical application. J Biomed Opt. 2013;18:061212.
- Ardigò M, Agozzino M, Franceschini C, Donadio C, Abraham LS, Barbieri L, et al. Reflectance confocal microscopy for scarring and non-scarring alopecia real-time assessment. Arch Dermatol Res. 2016;308:309–18.
- **19.** Cinotti E, Perrot JL, Labeille B, Raberin H, Flori P, Cambazard F. Hair dermatophytosis diagnosed by reflectance confocal microscopy: six cases. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2015;29:2257–9.
- Veasey JV, Meneses OM, da Silva FO. Reflectance confocal microscopy of tinea capitis: comparing images with results of dermoscopy and mycological exams. Int J Dermatol. 2019;58:849–51.
- 21. Franceschini C, Garelli V, Persechino F, Sperduti I, Caro G, Rossi A, et al. Dermoscopy and confocal microscopy for different chemotherapy-induced alopecia (CIA) phases characterization: preliminary study. Skin Res Technol. 2020;26:269–76.
- 22. Ardigo M, Agozzino M, Franceschini C, Lacarrubba F. Reflectance confocal microscopy algorithms for inflammatory and hair diseases. Dermatol Clin. 2016;34:487–96.
- 23. Kurzeja M, Czuwara J, Walecka I, Olszewska M, Rudnicka L. Features of classic lichen planopilaris and frontal fibrosing alopecia in reflectance confocal microscopy: a preliminary study. Skin Res Technol. 2021;27:266–71.
- 24. Agozzino M, Tosti A, Barbieri L, Moscarella E, Cota C, Berardesca E, et al. Confocal microscopic features of scarring alopecia: preliminary report. Br J Dermatol. 2011;165:534-40.
- **25.** Melo DF, De Carvalho N, Ardigò M, Pellacani G, Mota AN, Barreto TM, et al. Concordance among in vivo reflectance confocal microscopy, trichoscopy, and histopathology in the evaluation of scalp discoid lupus. Skin Res Technol. 2020;26:675–82.
- Mazzilli S, Vollono L, Cosio T, Donati M, Piccolo A, Di Raimondo C, et al. Reflectance confocal microscopy applied to Linear (en Coup de Sabre) morphea. Skin Appendage Disord. 2020;6:171–4.
- Mazzilli S, Vollono L, Tassone F, Cappilli S, Peris K, Bianchi L. Reflectance confocal microscopy applied to folliculitis decalvans: preliminary results of a multicenter study. Skin Appendage Disord. 2020;6:202–6.
- Peccerillo F, Mandel VD, Greco M, Ciardo S, Pellacani G. A headstrong case of folliculitis decalvans: treatment options and evaluation with dermoscopy, reflectance confocal microscopy and optical coherence tomography. Dermatol Ther. 2020;33:e14049.
- **29.** Gambichler T, Jaedicke V, Terras S. Optical coherence tomography in dermatology: technical and clinical aspects. Arch Dermatol Res. 2011;303:457–73.
- 30. Lademann J, Shevtsova J, Patzelt A, Richter H, Gladkowa ND, Gelikonov VM, et al. Optical coherent tomography for in vivo determination of changes in hair cross section and diameter during treatment with glucocorticosteroids a simple method to screen for doping substances? Skin Pharmacol Physiol. 2008;21:312–7.
- **31.** Lindner J, Hillmann K, Blume-Peytavi U, Lademann J, Lux A, Stroux A, et al. Hair shaft abnormalities after chemotherapy and tamoxifen therapy in patients with breast cancer evaluated by optical coherence tomography. Br J Dermatol. 2012;167:1272–8.
- Garcia Bartels N, Jahnke I, Patzelt A, Richter H, Lademann J, Blume-Peytavi U. Hair shaft abnormalities in alopecia areata evaluated by optical coherence tomography. Skin Res Technol. 2011;17:201–5.

- **33.** Ekelem C, Juhasz M, Yu J, Hosking AM, Csuka E, Choi F, et al. Monitoring response to platelet-rich plasma in patients with alopecia areata with optical coherence tomography: a case series. J Investig Dermatol Symp Proc. 2020;20:S50–4.
- 34. Ekelem C, Feil N, Csuka E, Juhasz M, Lin J, Choi F, et al. Optical coherence tomography in the evaluation of the scalp and

hair: common features and clinical utility. Lasers Surg Med. 2021;53:129-40.

35. Vázquez-Herrera NE, Eber AE, Martínez-Velasco MA, Perper M, Cervantes J, Verne SH, et al. Optical coherence tomography for the investigation of frontal fibrosing alopecia. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2018;32:318–22.