ARTICLE IN PRESS

ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



ACTASDermo-Sifiliográficas

Full English text available at www.actasdermo.org



BRIEF COMMUNICATION

- Clinical, Histopathological, and Therapeutic Features
- in Lupus Erythematosus Tumidus: A Retrospective
- **Study**
- equi E. La Rotta-Higuera^a, D. Morgado-Carrasco^a, M. Mansilla-Polo^{b,c}, P. Giavedoni^a,
- A. García-Herrera^d, J.M. Mascaró Jr^{a,*}
 - ^a Department of Dermatology, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- b Department of Dermatology, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico La Fe, Valencia, Spain
- ¹⁰ La Fe, Valencia, Spain
- d Department of Pathology, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- Received 20 September 2023; accepted 6 February 2024

KEYWORDS

Lupus erythematosus tumidus;

Cutaneous lupus

erythematosus;

Systemic lupus

erythematosus;

CD123;

Direct

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

immunofluorescence;

Autoimmune

disorders

Abstract Lupus erythematosus tumidus (LET) is a rare form of cutaneous lupus erythematosus (CLE) with a controversial connection to systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). We conducted a retrospective study with 34 LET patients in a Spanish tertiary referral center from 2007 to 2019. Most were women [52.9% (18/34)], with a median age of 53.5 years. Autoimmune or rheumatologic disorders were reported in 52.9% (18/34) of cases, and other CLE variants in 26.5% (9/34). SLE occurred in 8.82% (3/34), while 64.7% (22/34) had autoantibodies. Immunohistochemical CD123 testing tested positive in 75.9% (22/34), while direct immunofluorescence (DIF) showed positivity in 31.8% (7/22) of cases. Treatment included topical agents (100%), antimalarials (73.5%), oral corticosteroids (23.5%), and immunosuppressants (14.7%). All achieved clinical remission, but a delayed response (>3 months) was linked to SLE (p = 0.002) and anti-DNA antibodies (p = 0.003).

LET usually associates with autoimmune disorders and autoantibodies. CD123 and DIF aid diagnosis, and systemic treatment may be needed, especially with SLE and anti-DNA antibodies. © 2025 AEDV. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail address: jmmascaro_galy@ub.edu (J.M. Mascaró Jr).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2024.02.038

0001-7310/© 2025 AEDV. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article as: E. La Rotta-Higuera, D. Morgado-Carrasco, M. Mansilla-Polo et al., Clinical, Histopathological, and Therapeutic Features in Lupus Erythematosus Tumidus: A Retrospective Study, ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2024.02.038

^{*} Corresponding author.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

E. La Rotta-Higuera, D. Morgado-Carrasco, M. Mansilla-Polo et al.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Lupus eritematoso
túmido;
Lupus cutáneo
eritematoso;
Lupus eritematoso
sistémico;
CD123;
Inmunofluorescencia
directa;
Trastornos
autoinmunes

Características clínicas, histopatológicas y terapéuticas en el lupus eritematoso túmido. Un estudio retrospectivo

Resumen El lupus eritematoso túmido (LET) es una forma rara de lupus eritematoso cutáneo (LEC) con vínculos inciertos con el lupus eritematoso sistémico (LES). Estudiamos retrospectivamente a 34 pacientes con LET entre 2007 y 2019. La mayoría eran mujeres [52,9% 18/34)] (mediana de edad de 53,5 años). El 52,9% (18/34) presentaba trastornos autoinmunitarios o reumatológicos, y el 26,5% (9/34), otras variantes de LEC. Tres pacientes (8,2%) desarrollaron LES. El 64,7% (22/34) presentaba autoanticuerpos. La inmunohistoquímica de CD123 fue positiva en el 75,9% (22/34), y la inmunofluorescencia directa (IFD) en el 31,8% (7/22). El tratamiento incluyó agentes tópicos (100%), antipalúdicos (73,5%), corticosteroides orales (23,5%) y otros inmunosupresores (14,7%). Todos alcanzaron la remisión clínica. Una respuesta tardía (> 3 meses) se asoció a la presencia de LES (p = 0,002) y de anticuerpos anti-ADN (p = 0,003).

El LET suele asociarse con trastornos autoinmunitarios y autoanticuerpos. CD123 e IFD podrían ser útiles para el diagnóstico. Puede requerirse tratamiento sistémico, especialmente en casos de LES y presencia de anticuerpos anti-ADN.

© 2025 AEDV. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Lupus erythematosus tumidus (LET) is a rare and controversial variant of cutaneous lupus erythematosus (CLE), characterized by non-scarring erythematous and edematous papules and plaques predominantly on the face and trunk. It has been reported that its association with autoimmune disorders or systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is extremely rare.^{1,2} There are only a few large series described in the literature.¹⁻³ We sought to describe the clinical–epidemiological and histological features, direct immunofluorescence (DIF) findings, and therapeutic response of LET.

Materials and methods

Patients

We conducted a retrospective study with LET patients diagnosed in the Department of Dermatology of a Spanish tertiary referral center from January 2007 to May 2019. Clinical-epidemiological features, comorbidities, histological, DIF findings and response to treatment were recorded. Diagnosis of LET was based on clinical characteristics such as the presence of non-scarring erythematous, succulent and edematous papules and plaques located predominantly on sun-exposed areas along with histological features such as dermal edema, perivascular and periadnexal lymphocytic infiltration, and interstitial mucin deposition.

Diagnosis of SLE was based on the 2019 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria. All patients were monitored for, at least, 6 months. Response to treatment was defined as early clinical response (ECR) or late clinical response (LCR) when it was achieved within 3 months or after 3 months, respectively.

Lab test results

Autoantibodies tests were performed using a standard indirect immunofluorescence technique. Anti-double-stranded DNA (anti-dsDNA), anti-La and anti-Ro were determined by standardized enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays.

Histopathological and immunohistochemical studies

Dermoepidermal junction changes, type and pattern of inflammatory infiltrate, presence of interstitial mucin deposition and reactivity of CD123 immunohistochemical staining were evaluated by an expert dermatopathologist (AGH). Colloidal iron and/or Alcian blue were used to detect the presence of mucin. Positivity for CD123 was defined as the presence of clusters of CD123+ plasmacytoid dendritic cells (PDCs) arranged in aggregates of ≥15 CD123+ PDCs.

Direct immunofluorescence

Four-micrometer thick cryostat sections from cutaneous biopsies obtained from lesional skin were stained with polyclonal fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated antibodies against human IgA, IgG, IgM, and C3, as per routine clinical testing.

Statistical analysis

Clinical-histological characteristics and outcomes were expressed as frequencies. The use of systemic therapy with corticosteroids or immunosuppressants and treatment response were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed with SPSS Statistics version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Clinical-epidemiological features

A total of 34 patients were included (52.9% [18/34] women). The median age was 53.5 years (range, 31–78). The median clinical follow-up was 47 months (range, 6–240). All patients presented with typical lesions of LET (erythematous edematous plaques or papules with no scarring). Lesions were located on the back [(82.4%) (28/34)] and face [(58.8%) (20/34)] in most patients. The median diagnostic delay was 6 months (range, 1–312).

Comorbidities (Table 1): autoimmune or rheumatologic disorders were found in 52.9% (18/34) of the patients, Sjögren's syndrome was the most frequently detected [17.4% (6/34) of cases). Other variants of CLE were observed in 26.5% (9/34) of patients. Of these, 66.7% (6/9) of cases were diagnosed with subacute CLE (SCLE), 44.4% (4/9) with discoid lupus erythematosus (DLE) and 22.2% (2/9) with chilblain lupus. Association with SLE—according to the 2019 EULAR/ACR classification criteria—was observed

Table 1 Clinical-epidemiological characteristics, autoimmune/rheumatologic comorbidities and presence of autoantibodies in patients with lupus erythematosus tumidus.

Features	V alue ^a
Sex	
Men	16 (47.1)
Women	18 (52.9)
Age	
<40 y	3
40-50 y	10
>50 y	21
Median	53.5 y (range, 31-78)
Age of onset (LET)	
Median	47 y (range, 30-65)
Diagnostic delay	
Median	6 m (range, 1-312)
Clinical follow-up	
Median	47 m (range, 6-240)
Distribution of cutaneous lesio	ns
Back	28 (82.4)
Face	20 (58.8)
Arms	10 (29.4)
Neckline	6 (17.6)
Smokers	
Yes	13 (54.2)
No	11 (45.8)
No data	10
Presence of autoantibodies	
Yes	22 (64.7)
ANAs	20 (90.9)
Anti-DNA	5 (22.7)
Anti-Ro	9 (40.9)
Anti-RNP	2 (9.1)
No	12 (35.3)

Table 1 (Continued)

Features	V alue ^a
Titers of ANAs	
1/80	1 (5.0)
1/160	11 (55.0)
1/320	3 (15.0)
1/640	4 (20.0)
1/1280	1 (5.0)
Total	20 (100.0)
SLE	
Yes	3 (8.8)
No	31 (91.2)
Other variants of CLE	
Yes	9 (26.5)
SCLE	6 (66.7)
DLE	4 (44.4)
Chilblain lupus	2 (22.2)
No	25 (73.5)
Autoimmune/Rheumatologic disorders	
Yes	18 (52.9)
Sjögren syndrome	6 (33.3)
Rheumatoid arthritis	5 (27.8)
Seronegative arthritis	5 (27.8)
Ankylosing spondylitis	1 (5.6)
Small-vessel vasculitis	1 (5.6)
No	16 (47.1)

a n (%) unless otherwise specified.

LET, lupus erythematosus tumidus; y, years; m, months; ANAs, antinuclear antibodies; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SCLE, subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus; DLE, discoid lupus erythematosus.

in 8.82% (3/34) of individuals: 2 had concomitant joint and kidney involvement and 1, lung and joint involvement. At least 1 positive autoantibody was detected in 64.7% (22/34) of patients: positive antinuclear antibodies (ANA) (titers > 1/80) in 90.9% (20/22) of these cases, anti-DNA antibodies in 22.7% (5/22), anti-Ro, 40.9% (9/22) and anti-RNP in 9.1% (2/22) (Table 1).

Histopathology

Perivascular inflammatory lymphocytic infiltrate and the presence of interstitial mucin was evidenced in 100% (34/34) of the samples (Table 2). Mild involvement of the dermoepidermal junction with focal vacuolization of the basal layer was observed in 14.7% (5/34) of cases. Immunohistochemical study with CD123 was conducted in 29 specimens, showing positivity in 75.9% (22/29). DIF was performed in 64.7% (22/34) of cases turning out positive in 31.8% (7/22). The most common patterns observed were homogeneous deposit of IgG and granular deposit of C3 at the basement membrane (5/7).

Treatment

Although all patients achieved a complete clinical response (CCR), multiple therapeutic agents were required. ECR was

ARTICLE IN PRESS

E. La Rotta-Higuera, D. Morgado-Carrasco, M. Mansilla-Polo et al.

Table 2 Histologic and direct immunofluorescence findings and therapy required to achieve complete clinical response in patients with lupus erythematosus tumidus.

Histologic and direct immunofluorescence findings	N (%)
Vacuolization of basal cell layer Yes No	5 (14.7) 29 (85.13
Presence of vasculitis Yes No	0 (0) 36 (100)
Perivascular lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate Yes Mild Moderate-to-intense No	34 (100) 3 (8.8) 31 (91.2) 0 (0)
Mucin Yes Mild Moderate-to-intense No	34 (100) 3 (8.8) 31 (91.2) 0 (0)
CD123 Positive Negative Not performed	22 (75.9) 7 (24.1) 5
DIF Positive Negative Not performed	7 (31.8) ^a 15 (68.2) 12
Treatment	N (%)
Exclusive use of topical CS or CI Only antimalarials Antimalarials + oral CS ^b Antimalarials + oral CS + immunosuppressants ^c Oral CS + immunosuppressants ^d	8 (23.5) 16 (47.1) 5 (14.7) 2 (5.9) 2 (5.9)
Response to treatment CCR PR No response	34 (100) 0 (0) 0 (0)
Time until CCR <3 months ≥3 months	27 (79.4) 7 (20.6)

^a 5 cases showed a homogeneous deposit of IgG and granular C3 at the basement membrane. In 1 case there were granular deposits of C3 around the vessels and in another sample, perivascular granular deposits of IgM.

observed in 79.4% (27/34) of the patients, and LCR in 26.5% (9/34) of cases. All patients with SLE (3/3) and 80% (4/5) of the individuals with anti-DNA antibodies presented LCR.

All individuals received topical treatment (corticosteroids and/or calcineurin inhibitors). CCR was achieved exclusively with topical treatment in 23.5% (8/34). All of them showed ECR. Antimalarials were prescribed in 73.5% of cases (25/34), oral corticosteroids in 23.5% (8/34), and immunosuppressants in 14.7% (5/34) [mycophenolate (1/5), methotrexate (1/5), azathioprine + methotrexate (1/5) and mycophenolate + methotrexate (2/5)].

151

152

156

157

158

170

171

172

173

177

178

179

180

184

185

186

187

191

192

198

199

200

201

Regarding patients who received antimalarials, 45.7% (16/25) received them exclusively. Partial response (PR) was observed in 81.3% (13/16) and CCR in 18.7% (3/16); 20% (5/25) received antimalarial and oral corticosteroids, with PR in 40% (2/5) and CCR in 60% (3/5). Two patients received antimalarials and immunosuppressants (methotrexate or mycophenolate) and achieved CCR; another 2 patients, antimalarials, oral corticosteroids and immunosuppressants (methotrexate or mycophenolate), with PR in 1 patient and CCR in the other. Only 1 case was treated exclusively with oral corticosteroids and immunosuppressants (azathioprine and methotrexate since the patient had rheumatoid arthritis) and showed PR.

PR was significantly associated with age < 50 years (p = 0.034). LCR was significantly associated with the presence of SLE (p = 0.002) and anti-DNA antibodies (p = 0.003). Therapeutic response was not associated with sex, habitual smoking, age of LET presentation, concomitance with other types of CLE or autoimmune diseases, or presence of antinuclear antibodies, anti-Ro or anti-RNP, or positivity of CD123 or immunofluorescence.

Discussion

LET affects men and women in a similar proportion. 1,4 which was also observed in our series. Clinical presentation in our series was consistent with data previously described in literature exhibiting non-scarring, erythematous and edematous plagues/papules on the face, trunk and/or upper extremities.⁵ Regarding the association with autoimmune diseases, it has been reported that LET is rarely associated with SLE or the presence of circulating autoantibodies. 1,4,5 However, Schmitt et al.⁶ reported that >40% of LET patients had positive antinuclear antibodies, and 20% of them presented with SLE. In our series we observed that most patients had circulating autoantibodies, more frequently ANAs (titers > 80), and SLE was diagnosed in 9% of cases. Furthermore, other variants of LEC were observed in >25% of patients. Strikingly, autoimmune/rheumatological disorders were observed in half of the individuals. These findings suggest that the autoimmune background in LET can be greater than previously described.

Histological findings in our series were similar to those previously reported, such as the presence of perivascular lymphocytic inflammatory infiltrate and mucin deposition in most cases, and changes of the dermoepidermal junction in a minority of specimens, ^{2,4–7} although this rate can be up to 50%. ^{4,8}

 $^{^{\}rm b}$ 2 individuals suffered from systemic lupus erythematosus, and other, from subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus.

^c Methotrexate and micofenolate were indicated in both patients (one of them was affected with Sjögren syndrome).

^d The patient received azathioprine and methotrexate, and suffered from rheumatoid arthritis.

N, number; DIF, direct immunofluorescence; CS, corticosteroids; CI, calcineurin inhibitors; CCR, complete clinical response; PR: partial response.

204

205

206

207

208

209

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

239

240

241

242

244

ARTICLE IN PRESS

ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

CD123 staining allows identification of PDCs and can be used in the diagnosis of CLE, 9,10 although evidence on its use in LET is scarce. 5,10 We observed positivity for CD123 (with a perivascular pattern) in almost 80% of cases with LET. We believe that CD123 can be a useful diagnostic tool in challenging cases of LET.

DIF can be used for the diagnosis of CLE.^{5,7} While it has been reported that DIF is negative in LET, positivity of DIF has been described in a variable percentage of cases, from <10% up to >50%.^{5,7} In our series, positivity by DIF was observed in >30% of specimens. Homogeneous IgG deposit and granular deposit of C3 in the basement membrane were the most frequent patterns. We consider that DIF can be a useful technique in the diagnosis of LET and help to differentiate it from other dermatoses with similar clinical presentation.⁵ However, these results should be interpreted with caution, as DIF tested positive in only 7 out of 22 patients.

Regarding treatment, most authors suggest that clinical response is good and rapid. and that LET can even resolve spontaneously in up to 40% of cases. 6 Photoprotection, topical corticosteroids or calcineurin inhibitors are first-line therapies, along with antimalarials. 1,4,8 In our series, all patients achieved CCR, although they required diverse therapeutic agents. Exclusively topical treatment led to CCR in <25% of cases. Most patients required systemic treatment, and antimalarials were the most frequently indicated. Excellent responses were observed in most cases. However, one quarter of them required ≥ 3 months to achieve CCR. Slow improvement of lesions in LET patients has previously been described. Trikingly, almost 30% of cases in our series required oral CS or immunosuppressants to achieve CCR. More than half of these patients presented with autoimmune disorders. Age < 50 years, absence of SLE or circulating anti-DNA antibodies were associated with ECR. Given these findings, we consider that, in elderly patients or individuals with SLE or with anti-DNA antibodies, early initiation of systemic treatment could be considered.

Limitations of our study are its retrospective design and the relatively small number of patients included, despite being one of the largest series described to date.

Conclusions

LET may be strongly associated with Autoimmune/Rheumatologic disorders and with the presence of autoantibodies. CD123 and DIF can be useful when

diagnosing LET. Use of systemic corticosteroids and immunosuppressants may be necessary, especially for patients with SLE or anti-DNA antibodies.

248

240

250

251

253

254

255

256

257

258

260

261

266

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

277

278

279

282

283

286

287

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j. ad.2024.02.038.

References

- 1. Kuhn A, Richter-Hintz D, Oslislo C, et al. Lupus erythematosus tumidus a neglected subset of cutaneous Lupus erythematosus: report of 40 cases. Arch Dermatol. 2000;136:1033–41.
- Kuhn A, Sonntag M, Ruzicka T, et al. Histopathologic findings in lupus erythematosus tumidus: review of 80 patients. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2003;48:901–8.
- 3. Kuhn A, Sonntag M, Richter-Hintz D, et al. Phototesting in lupus erythematosus tumidus review of 60 patients. Photochem Photobiol. 2001;73:532–6.
- 4. Rodriguez-Caruncho C, Bielsa I, Fernández-Figueras MT, et al. Lupus erythematosus tumidus: a clinical and histological study of 25 cases. Lupus. 2015;24:751–5.
- 5. Cinotti E, Merlo V, Kempf W, et al. Reticular erythematous mucinosis: histopathological and immunohistochemical features of 25 patients compared with 25 cases of lupus erythematosus tumidus. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2015;29:689–97.
- Schmitt V, Meuth AM, Amler S, et al. Lupus erythematosus tumidus is a separate subtype of cutaneous lupus erythematosus. Br J Dermatol. 2010;162:64–73.
- 7. Alexiades-Armenakas MR, Baldassano M, Bince B, et al. Tumid lupus erythematosus: criteria for classification with immunohistochemical analysis. Arthritis Rheum. 2003;49:494–500.
- Rémy-Leroux V, Léonard F, Lambert D, et al. Comparison of histopathologic-clinical characteristics of Jessner's lymphocytic infiltration of the skin and lupus erythematosus tumidus: multicenter study of 46 cases. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2008;58:217-23.
- 9. Chen SJT, Tse JY, Harms PW, et al. Utility of CD123 immunohistochemistry in differentiating lupus erythematosus from cutaneous T cell lymphoma. Histopathology. 2019;74:908–16.
- Tomasini D, Mentzel T, Hantschke M, et al. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells: an overview of their presence and distribution in different inflammatory skin diseases, with special emphasis on Jessner's lymphocytic infiltrate of the skin and cutaneous lupus erythematosus. J Cutan Pathol. 2010;37:1132-9.