

ACTASDermo-Sifiliográficas

Full English text available at www.actasdermo.org



FORO DE RESIDENTES

[Translated article] RF-Longer Dupilumab Dosing Intervals in Atopic Dermatitis: Optimization or Therapeutic Failure?



FR-Espaciamiento de la dosis de dupilumab en dermatitis atópica: ¿optimización o fracaso terapéutico?

M.A. Lasheras-Pérez*, F. Navarro-Blanco, M. Rodríguez-Serna

Servicio de Dermatología y Venereología, Hospital Universitario y Politécnico la Fe, Valencia, Spain

KEYWORDS

Dupilumab;

Atopic dermatitis;

Dose reduction;

Therapeutic optimization;

Adult;

Efficiency

PALABRAS CLAVE

Dupilumab;

Dermatitis atópica;

Reducción dosis;

Optimización terapéutica;

Adulto;

Eficiencia

Dupilumab is a human IgG4 monoclonal antibody that targets the interleukin 4 receptor alpha (IL-4R α), which inhibits IL-4 and IL-13. It has been approved to treat moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis (AD), moderate-to-severe asthma, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis,

DOI of original article:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2023.04.043

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: drmalp97@gmail.com (M.A. Lasheras-Pérez).

eosinophilic esophagitis, and prurigo nodularis. Regarding AD, the regimen for adults is a loading dose of 600 mg, followed by 300 mg every 2 weeks (Q2W).

The therapeutic optimization of biological drugs consists of down-titration in patients with a sustained good response, without loss of efficacy. This practice is rooted in psoriasis and some rheumatologic diseases to avoid unnecessary overtreatment, reduce side effects, and improve economic efficiency. Pelow we'll be discussing the results of 5 studies of patients with well-controlled AD on dupilumab, in whom the dosing interval was extended beyond the abovementioned 2-week threshold.

The first study to explore this idea was the randomized LIBERTY AD SOLO-CONTINUE clinical trial, which is a continuation of the trials that led to the approval of dupilumab for the management of AD. It studied a total of 422 patients categorized into 4 groups based on the dosing interval: Q1W/Q2W, Q4W, and Q8W. Thirty-six weeks after down-titration, no significant differences were reported in the percentage change observed in the Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI), or in the proportion of patients with Investigator Global Assessment (IGA) 0-1 between the Q1W/Q2W and Q4W groups. Conversely, a proportion of patients with EASI-75 was lost (p = 0.045), and the number of patients with, at least, a 3-point increase in the pruritus numeric rating scale (P-NRS) (p = 0.02) increased. The Q8W group significantly reduced efficacy in the above-mentioned scales.3

Table 1 Studies in which the dupilumab dose was spaced out due to sustained good response.

Study type	Inclusion criteria	Primary measurement ^a	Regimen	No. of Patients	Element for statistical comparison	Result	References
Randomized clinical trial	> 18 years, 16 weeks Q1W/Q2W DUPI 300, EASI-75 IGA 0-1	% change in EASI No. of patients with EASI-75 after 36 weeks	Q1/2W Q4W Q8W Placebo	169 86 84 83 n = 442	%EASI-0.06, EASI-75 71, 6% %EASI and EASI-75 of the Q1/2W regimen	%EASI-0.06, EASI-75 71.6% vs %EASI-0.06, EASI-75 71.6%, %EASI-3.84 (NS), EASI-75 58.3% (p = 0.04), %EASI-6.84 (p = 0.02), EASI-75 54.9% (p = 0.01), %EASI-21.6 (p < 0.001), EASI-75 30.4% (p < 0.001)	3
Prospective cohort	>18 years, 52 weeks Q2W with DUPI 300, EASI < 7 for 6 months	EASI and P-NRS after 6 months	Q2W Q4W Q8W	30 30 30 n = 90	EASI 6.4, P-NRS 4.0 vs EASI 1.7, P-NRS 2.0, EASI 2.3, P-NRS 2.0 EASI and P-NRS reached by every group before down-titration	EASI 5.4 (NS), P-NRS 4.0 (NS), EASI 1.5 (NS), P-NRS 2.0 (NS), EASI 2.9 (NS), P-NRS 3.0 (p < 0.01)	1
Case series	>18 years, SCORAD50, down-titration	Response persistence (unspecified scale) after 6.3 months	Q2W Q4W	N = 55 ^b	Data not provided in the original article	At the 6.3-month follow-up, 35 individuals maintained/increased spacing. Data not provided by the lead author	4
Case series	>18 years, 52 weeks Q2W with DUPI 300, EASI <= 7 and DLQI <= 5 for 6 months	EASI, P-NRS, DLQI after 32 weeks	Q3W Q4W	35 9 n = 44 ^b	EASI 0.39, P-NRS 1.04, DLQI 3.28 vs EASI 0.18, P-NRS 1.05, DLQI 3.55 EASI, P-NRS and DLQI reached by every group before down-titration	EASI 0.45 (NS), P-NRS 0.94 (NS), DLQI 3.2 (NS), EASI 0.22 (NS), P-NRS 1.14 (NS), DLQI 2.8 (NS)	2
Case series	>18 years, 52 weeks Q2W with DUPI 300, IGA 0-1	EASI and IGA after 12 months	Q3W Q4W Q2W ^c	n = 17	IGA 1.00, EASI -16.05 IGA and EASI reached by every group before down-titration	IGA 1.42 (NS), EASI-20,10 (NS) Data not broken down by groups	5

All significant changes were due to loss of efficacy in some scale.

DLQI, Dermatology Life Quality Index; DUPI, dupilumab; EASI, Eczema Area and Severity Index; IGA, Investigator Global Assessment; NS, not significant; P-NRS, Pruritus Numeric Rating scale; QXW, every ''X'' No. of weeks.

^a When multiple measurements were available, the most recent one was used, except in ⁴ where only the described data was available.

^b Patients who increased the dose interval due to side effects were not included in this table.

^c Dose was down-titrated to 200 mg of dupilumab every 2 weeks.

Afterwards, a Dutch cohort of 90 patients compared the EASI of the Q2W regimen vs the Q4W and Q6W/Q8W regimens. Down-titration was gradual, first to Q3W, and then for those who maintained responses doses were further spaced out. After an, at least, 6-month follow-up, no significant EASI increases were seen in either Q4W or Q6W/Q8W. While the P-NRS remained stable in the Q4W group, it increased in the Q6W/Q8W group (p=0.01). Despite the reduced drug levels, the severity biomarkers PARC/CCL18 and TARC/CCL17 remained low. 1

A French series of 88 patients identified 3 predictors of sustained response after dose reduction: spacing due to good sustained response rather than side effects (p = 0.034), older age (p = 0.006), and lower monthly dose of topical corticosteroids (0.016). Data from the reviewed studies are summarized in Table 1.⁴

In conclusion, various authors propose a consensual and gradual down-titration of dupilumab in well-controlled patients. It has been hypothesized that the sustained response is due to the selection of super responders, in whom a lower drug level saturates the pharmacological target. 1,2,4,5 Conducting controlled studies aims to avoid iatrogenesis and promote efficiency with the advent of new drugs.

References

- Spekhorst LS, Bakker D, Drylewicz J, Rispens T, Loeff F, Boesjes CM, et al. Patient-centered dupilumab dosing regimen leads to successful dose reduction in persistently controlled atopic dermatitis. Allergy. 2022;77:3398-407, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/all.15439.
- Patruno C, Potestio L, Fabbrocini G, Napolitano M. Dupilumab dose spacing after initial successful treatment or adverse events in adult patients with atopic dermatitis: A retrospective analysis. Dermatol Ther. 2022;35, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/dth.15933.
- Worm M, Simpson EL, Thaci D, Bissonnette R, Lacour JP, Beissert S, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Multiple Dupilumab Dose Regimens After Initial Successful Treatment in Patients With Atopic Dermatitis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Dermatol. 2020;56:131–43, http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamadermatol.2019.3617.
- 4. Jendoubi F, Shourik J, Seneschal J, Giordano-Labadie F, Raison-Peyron N, Du-Thanh A, et al. Longer dupilumab dosing intervals in adult patients with atopic dermatitis: experience from a French multicentre retrospective cohort study. Br J Dermatol. 2022;187:602–3, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjd.21628.
- Ardern-Jones MR, Buchanan EE, Njungu S, O'Driscoll D. Successful Dose Reduction of Dupilumab in Atopic Dermatitis. Br J Dermatol. 2023;188:678–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bjd/ljad011.