Actas Dermo-Sifiliográficas xxx (xxxx) 104503

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Actas Dermo-Sifiliográficas

journal homepage: www.actasdermo.org



49

52

53

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

81

82

83

Opinion Article

- Second-degree Burns: Contemporary Management, Evidence Gaps, and
- **Practical Proposals**
- J. Ugedo Alzaga o a,*, E. Conde-Montero
 - a Servicio de Dermatología del Hospital Universitario Cruces, Bilbao, Basque Country, Spain
 - ^b Servicio de Dermatología del Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor y Virgen de la Torre, Madrid, Spain

Introduction

 Q^2

31

32

33

35

42

The prevalence of burns requiring medical attention in Spain is approximately 300 per 100,000 inhabitants. Each year, more than 6500 emergency visits are due to burns, with second-degree burns being the most frequent type. In this context, we aim to present several reflections following a literature review on the management of superficial second-degree burns, a topic of great relevance in clinical practice.

Burns have unique characteristics that distinguish them from other types of wounds. In particular, they induce a more intense and prolonged inflammatory response than traumatic wounds,2 which is reflected in higher levels of proinflammatory cytokines and increased infiltration of neutrophils, macrophages, and lymphocytes in the lesion. Furthermore, burns exhibit slower reepithelialization compared with traumatic wounds due to the absence of dermal structures such as hair follicles and sebaceous glands, which facilitate regeneration in superficial traumatic wounds.2

One of the main controversies is the management of blisters. Clinical practice guidelines on burn care advocate for debridement, but literature supports leaving blisters intact in most cases, as they provide a natural biological barrier against infection and dehydration.³ However, when blisters are large, tense, or painful, sterile drainage is recommended without removing the blister roof. This approach relieves pain while maintaining the biological protection offered by the blister roof.³

Ro et al. reported that blister aspiration, compared with deroofing, is more effective for pain relief and wound healing without increasing infection risk. They found no significant differences in healing time, with complete reepithelialization averaging about 12 days.³

Another area of controversy concerns the products used in burn management. Ji et al. recommend, in the initial stage, cleansing the wound surface with mild soapy water or tap water and using transparent, lowtoxicity, minimally irritating disinfectants such as chlorhexidine acetate or hypochlorous acid solution for subsequent dressings, while avoiding agents such as povidone-iodine or silver-based products.4 This recommendation is noteworthy given that there is broad consensus against using antiseptics in the treatment of uncomplicated acute superficial wounds. Moreover, a Cochrane review concluded that the evidence is

Corresponding author. E-mail address: josebaugedo@gmail.com (J. Ugedo Alzaga).

very limited and that there are no comparative studies on infection rates between antiseptics, antimicrobials, and other products such as honey.⁵ Among the most widely used options are:

- 1. Silver sulfadiazine (SSD): This topical agent remains widely used to prevent infection in second- and third-degree burns. However, its potential cytotoxicity to skin cells may delay wound healing.4
- 2. Biodegradable synthetic membranes: These have proven effective for pain management and healing in burns, allowing dressing changes to be spaced out and reducing the psychological and physical impact of treatment.4
- 3. Bacterial cellulose (BC) dressings: These promote a moist environment and protect against bacterial invasion. They reduce healing time vs petrolatum gauze.6
- 4. Silver-containing hydrofiber dressings: Effective for exudate control and reduction of local inflammation, but, like SSD, may be cytotoxic.4
- 5. Alginate dressings: Ideal for burns with moderate to high exudate, they absorb excess fluid and maintain a moist environment conducive to healing. They can remain intact for several weeks, reducing dressing frequency and associated pain.⁷
- 6. Zinc oxide (ZnO): Has antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and epithelialization-promoting effects. Arsal et al. compared the use of SSD with ZnO and found that in the ZnO group, the time to reach 50% and 80% reepithelialization was 4 and 5 days shorter, respectively. Topical zinc application reduces necrotic tissue and promotes epithelialization.8

Regarding topical corticosteroids, although limited published studies suggest no clear benefit, since burns are highly inflammatory lesions, they could potentially accelerate healing and reduce the risk of pathological scarring.10

In conclusion despite being a very common type of wound, evidence regarding the treatment of second-degree burns and comparisons among different therapies and protocols remains weak.

Our proposal is to preserve the blister roof as a natural dressing and use products such as ZnO and alginate, which are equally or more effective than silver sulfadiazine, allow for less frequent dressing changes, reduce associated pain, and avoid the use of SSD, which is cytotoxic and delays healing.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2025.104503

0001-7310/© 2025 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of AEDV. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article as: J. Ugedo Alzaga and E. Conde-Montero, Second-degree Burns: Contemporary Management, Evidence Gaps, and Practical Proposals, ACTAS Dermo-Sifiliográficas, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2025.104503

Actas Dermo-Sifiliográficas xxx (xxxx) 104503

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

Q1 J. Ugedo Alzaga and E. Conde-Montero

85 References

86

88

89

91

92

93

96

97

98

99

100

101

- 1. España. Real Decreto 588/2022, de 19 de julio, por el que se aprueba el Reglamento del Registro de Fundaciones de Competencia Estatal. Boletín Oficial del Estado, no. 170, de 18 de julio de 2022 [Internet]; 2022. Available from: https://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2022/07/18/pdfs/BOE-A-2022-11945.pdf. Accessed 21 November 2024.
- Jabeen S, Clough ECS, Thomlinson AM, et al. Partial thickness wound: does mechanism of injury influence healing? Burns. 2019;45:531–542, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2018.08.010.
- Ro HS, Shin JY, Sabbagh MD, et al. Effectiveness of aspiration or deroofing for blister management in patients with burns: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2018;97:e0563, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000010563.
- Ji S, Xiao S, Xia Z, Chinese Burn Association Tissue Repair of Burns and Trauma Committee, Cross-Straits Medicine Exchange Association of China. Consensus on the treatment of second-degree burn wounds (2024 edition). *Burns Trauma*. 2024;12, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/burnst/tkad061, tkad061.

- Norman G, Christie J, Liu Z, et al. Antiseptics for burns. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2017;7, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011821.pub2. CD011821.
- Pan X, Han C, Chen G, Fan Y. Evaluation of bacterial cellulose dressing versus Vaseline gauze in partial thickness burn wounds. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2022;2022:5217617, http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2022/5217617.
- Stynes G, Haertsch P, O'Hara J, et al. Alginate dressings continuously for 14 days on uncontaminated burns. J Burn Care Res. 2023;44:905–911, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jbcr/irac143.
- Arslan K, Karahan Ö, Okuş A, et al. Comparison of topical zinc oxide and silver sulfadiazine in burn wounds: an experimental study. *Turk J Trauma Emerg Surg*. 2012;18:376–382, http://dx.doi.org/10.5505/tjtes.2012.45381.
- UpToDate. Treatment of Minor Thermal Burns [Internet]. UpToDate; 2024. Available from: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/treatment-of-minor-thermal-burns. Accessed 21 November 2024.
- Gil-Pallares. Corticoides tópicos, quemaduras y tejido de granulación hipertrófico. Rev Mult Ins Cutánea Aguda. 2020;21:50–60.

2