Journal Pre-proof



[[Translated article]]Designing and Validating a Questionnaire on Photoprotection in Children and Families (Cuestionario Fotoprotección Sulayr)

JE Muñoz Negro A Cabrera-León A Buendía Eisman

PII: S0001-7310(25)00650-7

DOI: https://doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.ad.2025.10.003

Reference: AD 4470

To appear in: *Actas dermosifiliograficas*

Received Date: 24 September 2024

Accepted Date: 13 October 2024

Please cite this article as: Muñoz Negro J, Cabrera-León A, Buendía Eisman A, [[Translated article]]Designing and Validating a Questionnaire on Photoprotection in Children and Families (Cuestionario Fotoprotección Sulayr), *Actas dermosifiliograficas* (2025), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2025.10.003

Refers to AD_4437

Original

Diseño y validación de un cuestionario para medir la fotoprotección en los niños y sus familias (Cuestionario Fotoprotección Sulayr)

[[Translated article]]Designing and Validating a Questionnaire on Photoprotection in Children and Families (Cuestionario Fotoprotección Sulayr)

Muñoz Negro JE[1], Cabrera-León A2, Buendía Eisman A[3]

1. Especialista en MFYC. Profesor Contratado Doctor UGR.
2. Estadístico. Profesor Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública (Granada). CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (Madrid). Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria (Granada)
3. Especialista en Dermatología. Profesor Titular UGR

Autor de correspondencia: Prof. José Eduardo Muñoz Negro.

Correo electrónico: jemunoznegro@ugr.es

RESUMEN

Antecedentes y objetivo: La prevención primaria es el único modo de disminuir la incidencia del cáncer de piel. Con ese objetivo diseñamos y evaluamos la viabilidad, validez y reproducibilidad de un cuestionario sobre conductas fotoprotectoras y sus variables asociadas en la población infantil y sus familias.

Material y Métodos: Tras revisar la literatura, un grupo de expertos redactó un primer cuestionario, analizándose su validez lógica y de contenido en 109 participantes. A partir de este análisis se obtuvo un nuevo cuestionario, analizándose su validez interna mediante análisis factorial y de consistencia interna (n=98). Finalmente, se determinó la reproducibilidad en 32 participantes que habían contestado previamente el cuestionario.

Resultados: Nuestro cuestionario resultó fácil o muy fácil para el 75% de la población de estudio, (tiempo medio 12 minutos). Se obtuvieron los siguientes 4 factores para los padres (varianza

total explicada=61,7%): “fotoprotección de padres y madres”; “vulnerabilidad personal hacia la exposición solar”; “información recibida sobre fotoprotección y hábitos de vida sana”; y “horario de exposición solar”. Para hijos/as se obtuvieron también 4 factores (varianza=61,3%): “vulnerabilidad percibida y conducta fotoprotectora”; “barreras frente a la fotoprotección”; “otras barreras”; y “creencias de madres y padres y quemaduras en sus hijas/os”. Por otra parte, solo se observó cambio significativo en 5 preguntas. Los resultados de cada trabajo de campo nos sirvieron para producir versiones mejoradas del cuestionario, hasta obtener su versión definitiva.

Conclusión: El cuestionario resultó ser un instrumento viable, válido y reproducible para medir la conducta fotoprotectora en la infancia y sus familias.

Palabras clave: cáncer de piel, prevención primaria, conducta fotoprotectora, cuestionario, preescolar.

Designing and Validating a Questionnaire on Photoprotection in Children and Families

ABSTRACT

Background and objective: Primary prevention is the only way to reduce the incidence rate of skin cancer. With this objective, we designed and evaluated the questionnaire's feasibility, validity, and reproducibility on photoprotective behaviors and their associated variables in the child population and their families.

Material and Methods: After reviewing the literature, a group of experts drafted the first questionnaire, analyzing its logical and content validity in 109 participants. A new questionnaire was obtained from this analysis, examining its internal validity through factor analysis and internal consistency (n = 98). Finally, reproducibility was determined in 32 participants who had previously answered the questionnaire.

Results: Our questionnaire was easy or very easy for 75% of the study population (mean time, 12 minutes). The following 4 factors were obtained for parents (total explained variance = 61.7%): "photoprotection of fathers and mothers"; "personal vulnerability to sun exposure"; "information received on photoprotection and healthy lifestyle habits," and "sun exposure schedule". For children, a total of 4 factors were also obtained (variance = 61.3%): "perceived vulnerability and sun protection behavior"; "barriers to sun protection"; "other barriers"; and "beliefs of mothers and fathers and sunburns in their children". On the other hand, significant changes were only observed in 5 questions. The results of each fieldwork produced improved versions of the questionnaire until its final version was obtained.

Conclusion: The questionnaire was a viable, valid, and reproducible instrument to measure sun protection behavior in children and their families.

Keywords: skin cancer, primary prevention, sun protection behavior, questionnaire, preschool.

Introduction

Skin cancer is the most common group of malignant neoplasms in light-skinned populations worldwide. Owing to environmental and social factors, its incidence rate has increased over recent decades.[1]

Primary prevention of skin cancer, together with early detection, is the most effective tool available to control the problem.[2] This prevention should start in childhood, where photoprotective behaviors play a key role.[3] For this reason, it is crucial to have an instrument that measures photoprotective behavior in childhood. Various tools exist (e.g., direct observation, photographs, or diaries), with questionnaires being the most widely used and recognized method in the international literature.

Questionnaires—validated for measuring photoprotective behaviors and sun exposure—offer several advantages over other measurement instruments, chief among them feasibility (they allow reaching a large number of participants quickly and at low cost).[4] Two Spanish-language questionnaires on habits, knowledge, and attitudes regarding photoprotection in children and young adults have been validated recently.[5,6] However, no validated questionnaires are available to assess photoprotection and its related variables in the pediatric population and their families.

Accordingly, the objective of this study was to design and evaluate the feasibility, validity, and reproducibility of a questionnaire on photoprotective behavior in children and their families.

Methods

Design

Validation study with an observational design and 3 cross-sectional measurements (1 for the preliminary fieldwork and 2 for the definitive phase), with a longitudinal subsample in the second and third measurements for reproducibility analysis.

Study population

The study was conducted in 3 randomly selected early-childhood education centers in the city of Granada (Spain). Within each center, the study was offered to all children aged ≤ 6 years.

Questionnaire design and validation

The questionnaire design and validation proceeded in the following phases (Figure 1):

1. Literature review. Conducted for 1986–2015 in MEDLINE and Índice Médico Español using the keywords: skin cancer, primary prevention, questionnaire, knowledge, behaviors, attitudes, risk factors, photoprotection, sunburn, and preschool. Variables associated with photoprotection use in childhood were identified, as well as internationally accepted questionnaires on the topic.[7–26] The findings informed the next phase.
2. Expert panel of the Cutaneous Oncology Group, School of Medicine of *Universidad de Granada*, to determine content validity and develop the first questionnaire version.
3. Preliminary fieldwork, carried out in 2 schools (n = 109; 51.9% response). We analyzed completion time, comprehensibility, internal consistency, and construct validity. Based on these results, we modified content, structure, and format, producing an improved version for the next phase.
4. Definitive fieldwork, first observation, conducted in a third school (n = 98; 46.7% response). The same analyses were performed as in the previous phase.
5. Definitive fieldwork, second observation, conducted 3 months later in the same school year and population (n = 60). We repeated the prior analyses and additionally assessed questionnaire reproducibility in the subsample that also responded in the first observation (n = 32). These results completed the validation study and yielded the final questionnaire (supplementary data).

Questionnaire

The questionnaire comprises 51 items with information about mothers, fathers, and children. It was developed from findings at each phase and contains the following sections:

1. Demographics (14 items): household members and usual occupation; sex and age of respondent; marital status; educational level, profession, and employment status of mother and father; age and sex of up to 3 children aged ≤ 6 years (3 items).
2. Parental photoprotection (8 Likert-scale items): use of sunscreens and other sun-protective measures, as well as history and severity of sunburns.
3. Children's photoprotection (12 items): degree of agreement regarding sunscreen use (4 ordinal items), use of sunscreens and other sun-protective measures (4 Likert items), frequency of sunburns (one Likert item), number of blistering sunburns (one item), sun-exposure habits (1 item with 5 categories and another item on exposure times).
4. Risk markers (5 items): propensity to sunburn (1 item for parents and 3 for children; 6 categories) and family history of skin cancer (1 dichotomous item, yes/no).
5. Information received by parents about photoprotection (1 item with 10 categories).
6. Preventive and health-promotion activities by parents (5 items): healthy lifestyle habits (2 ordinal items with 4 categories on physical activity and tobacco; 2 Likert items on consumption of non-whole milk and seat-belt use) and frequency of skin self-examination (1 item).
7. Knowledge and attitudes about sun exposure (6 items): attitude toward tanning (1 item, 3 categories); skin cancer and its relationship with sun exposure (1 item, 6 categories);

hours of highest solar radiation (1 item, 7 categories); place of greatest sun exposure (1 item, 5 categories); consequences of sun exposure (2 ordinal items, 5 categories).

Statistical analysis

In all fieldwork phases we performed descriptive analyses of the information blocks and questions in each questionnaire version; item and scale performance (Pearson and Spearman correlations depending on variable type); construct validity (exploratory factor analysis on principal components with varimax rotation, assessing model adequacy with Kaiser's criterion and Bartlett's test of sphericity); internal consistency (Cronbach's α); and, in the second observation only, reproducibility analysis (test–retest for qualitative variables using McNemar's test and proportions of agreement/change, and for quantitative variables using the Wilcoxon test; exact, two-sided tests for small samples). Analyses were performed separately for the items addressed to parents and for those addressed to their children. The significance level was set at 0.05. Statistical analyses used SPSS software (version 20.0; IBM Corp., Somers, NY).

Results

Description of the study samples

Table 1 describes the most significant sociodemographic variables. Statistically significant differences were observed in the percentage of participating women, which was higher in the first observation ($p < 0.045$), and in the percentage of parents with university studies in both observations ($p < 0.01$).

Questionnaire difficulty and duration (preliminary fieldwork)

The questionnaire was rated easy or very easy by 75.2% of participants and difficult or very difficult by 1.8%. Overall, 63.6% completed it in 10 minutes or less (mean, 12 minutes; 95%CI, 10.8–13.2).

Internal validity of the questionnaire (definitive fieldwork)

Based on the factor analysis in the first observation, 4 factors were identified for the parent items and another 4 for the child items.

For the parent items, communalities were high, ranging from 0.56 to 0.71. The total variance explained by the model was 61.7%, yielding the following four factors (Table 2):

1. Parental photoprotection: questions on the frequency with which parents use sunscreen with SPF > 15, shirts, sunglasses, hats, and shade; these behaviors cluster together. Owing to low communality, the question on using sunglasses for sun protection was removed (it contributed little to explaining variability), which improved the factor's internal consistency. This factor alone explained 21.4% of model variance.
2. Personal vulnerability to sun exposure: composed of "frequency of blistering sunburns" and "time able to stay in the sun without protection before burning."

3. Information received on photoprotection and healthy lifestyle habits: questions on parents' health habits: "habit of outdoor exercise," "consumption of skim milk," and "degree of information on health and sun exposure."
4. Sun-exposure schedule: the question "frequency of sun exposure from 11:00 to 16:00," which behaved independently of the other factors.

For the child items, communalities were slightly lower than for parents, ranging from 0.493 to 0.694. The total variance explained was very similar (61.3%), yielding these four factors (Table 3):

1. Perceived vulnerability and photoprotective behavior: use of sunscreen, shirts, hats, and shade by children, plus the child's phototype.
2. Barriers to photoprotection: "it is hard to apply photoprotection more than once a day" and "photoprotection is difficult."
3. Other barriers to photoprotection: "photoprotection is expensive" and "photoprotection stings the eyes."
4. Parental beliefs and burns in their children: parental belief (positive or negative) about the risks of sun exposure for their children, and the frequency with which their children have had painful sunburns.

Using the second-observation sample, factor analysis again yielded 4 parent factors, virtually identical to the first observation, and 3 child factors, in which the previous Factors 2 and 3 merged into a single factor with improved internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.71$).

Reproducibility of the questionnaire (1st and 2nd observations)

Only 5 questions showed statistically significant response changes between the 1st and 2nd observations, particularly those related to skin self-examination ($p < 0.001$) and time in the sun without burning ($p = 0.002$) (Tables 4 and 5).

Discussion

Currently, there is no measurement method for photoprotective behavior that can be considered a "gold standard."[4] Moreover, few studies assess the validity and reproducibility of questionnaires measuring photoprotection and its associated variables,[7] and available studies are difficult to compare owing to contextual and methodological differences.[8]

Our questionnaire showed good feasibility: it was easy to complete and brief—well below the 30–40 minutes some authors recommend as an upper limit.[26] It contains more questions than some similar works because it captures more information,[9–11] although its total number of items is similar to other reports.[27]

Regarding internal validity, we observed a clear, logical construct. Notably, parental photoprotective measures clustered into an independent factor with good internal consistency, except for the use of sunglasses and avoidance of sun exposure at peak-radiation hours. The study population appears not to view sunglasses as a photoprotective measure but rather as aesthetic or for comfort. Avoiding sun exposure at peak hours was the least used measure, indicating ample room for improvement. The factor "information received on photoprotection

and healthy lifestyle habits" reflects a logical association between photoprotection and other healthy behaviors.

For the child items, the construct was also solid. A low phototype associated with more photoprotective behavior, a finding widely reported.[9–15] As with parents, child photoprotective measures clustered together. Grouping of sunburns in children with the belief that the sun is dangerous suggests parents infer sun danger from their children's burn experience—an important psychosocial variable for prevention campaigns. It is therefore necessary to emphasize that the sun is dangerous even without a family history of sunburn. Barriers to photoprotection split into 2 independent factors—"hard/difficult" versus "stings the eyes/expensive"—which merged into 1 factor in the 2nd observation, further supporting the questionnaire's validity.

Questionnaire reproducibility was adequate. The resulting final version has been used in epidemiologic studies such as the Andalusian Health Survey since 2007,[28] a key population-based source on the health status of Andalusians and their health system, and in other research projects.[29,30] Its good reproducibility has also allowed its use to evaluate educational campaigns conducted by our research group.[31,32]

Study limitations include potential selection bias (volunteer parents may be more concerned about health and photoprotection than the general population); differences in parental university education between samples (reflecting socioeconomic differences among schools across study phases); a small reproducibility sample with low statistical power; and social desirability bias inherent to questionnaire studies.[14,27]

Conclusions

We developed a feasible, valid, and reproducible instrument to assess photoprotective behavior and its related variables in children and their families.

Research ethics

Participants provided informed consent to take part in this fully voluntary study, which complied with the principles set forth in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Funding

None declared.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Acknowledgments

We thank the families and staff of Cristo de la Yedra, Santo Tomás de Villanueva, and Nazaret schools for their collaboration in the study.

Appendix. Supplementary data

Additional material is available in the electronic version of this article at doi:10.1016/j.ad.2024.10.080.

References

1. Perez M, Abisaad JA, Rojas KD, Marchetti MA, Jaimes N. Skin cancer: Primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention. Part I. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2022;87(2):255-68.

2. Aceituno-Madera P, Buendía-Eisman A, Arias-Santiago S, Serrano-Ortega S. Evolución de la incidencia de cáncer de piel en el período 1978-2002. Actas Dermosifiliogr 2010;101:39-46.

3. Li Y, Wu J, Cao Z. Childhood sunburn and risk of melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer: a Mendelian randomization study. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2023;30(58):122011-23.

4. Buendía-Eisman A, Muñoz-Negro JE, Serrano-Ortega S. Instrumentos de medida de la conducta fotoprotectora. Piel 2004;19(1):8-15.

5. Blazquez-Sanchez N, De Troya-Martin M, Rivas-Ruiz F, Bueno-Fernandez S, Fernandez-Morano MT, Arias-Santiago S. Validation of the 'CHRESI' questionnaire on habits related to sun exposure during childhood. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2018;27(1):54-61

6. Blazquez-Sanchez N, Rivas-Ruiz F, Bueno-Fernandez S, Arias-Santiago S, Fernandez-Morano MT, de Troya-Martin M. Validation of a Questionnaire Designed to Study Knowledge, Attitudes, and Habits Related to Sun Exposure Among Young Adults: The CHACES Questionnaire. Actas Dermosifiliogr (Engl Ed). 2020;111(7):579-89.

7. Tripp MK, Carvajal SC, McCormick LK, Mueller NH, Hu SH, Parcel GS, Gritz ER. Validity and reliability of the parental sun protection scales. Health Educ Res 2003;18(1): 58-73

8. Day AK, Wilson CJ, Hutchinson AD, Roberts RM. The role of skin cancer knowledge in sun-related behaviours: a systematic review. J Health Psychol 2014;19(9):1143-62.

9. Gilaberte Y, Aguareles M, Coscojuela C, Doste D, Fajó J Pardos C. Factores asociados a la fotoprotección en niños: una encuesta realizada a los padres. Actas dermosifiliogr 2000; 92:81-87.

10. Reinau D, Meier CR, Gerber N, Surber C. Evaluation of a sun safety education programme for primary school students in Switzerland. Eur J Cancer Prev 2014;23(4):303-9.

11. Cercato MC, Nagore E, Ramazzotti V, Sperduti I, Guillén C. Improving sun-safe knowledge, attitude and behaviour in parents of primary school children: a pilot study. J Cancer Educ 2013;28(1):151-7.

12. Olson AL, Dietrich AJ, Sox CH, Stevens MM, Winchell CW, Ahles TA. Solar protection of children at the beach. Pediatrics 1997;99(6):E1

13. Gefeller O, Li J, Uter W, Pfahlberg AB. The impact of parental knowledge and tanning attitudes on sun protection practice for young children in Germany. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2015;11(5):4768-81.

14. Robinson JK, Rademaker AW. Sun protection by families at the beach. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1998;152: 466-470.

15. Robinson J, Rigel D, Amonette R. Summertime sun protection used by adults for their children. J Am Acad Dermatol 2000; 42:746-53.

16. Cayuela A, Rodriguez-Dominguez S, Lapetra-Peralta J, Conejo-Mir JS. Has mortality from malignant melanoma stopped rising in Spain? Analysis of trends between 1975 and 2001.Br J Dermatol. 2005;152(5):997-1000

17. Feriche E. Programa de Intervención escolar para valoración y modificación del comportamiento ante la exposición solar. Tesis doctoral. Granada. 2000.

18. Gerbert B, Johnston K, Bleecker T, McPhee S. Attitudes about skin cancer prevention: a qualitative study. J Cancer Educ 1996;11(2):96-101

19. Kakourou T, Klimentopoulou A, Kavadias G, Veltsista A, Krikos X, Bakoula C. Improvement of sun-related knowledge and protection practice. Eur J Dermatol 2006;16(2):172-6.

20. Benjes LS, Brooks DR, Zhang Z, Livstone L, Sayers L, Powers C. Mothers' Changing patterns of sun protection between the first and second summers for very young children. Arch Dermatol. 2004;140(8):925-30.

21. Maducdoc L; Wagner R; Wagner K; Parents' Use of Sunscreen on Beach-Going Children. The Burnt Child Dreads the Fire. Arch Dermatol. 1992; 128:628-629.

22. McGee R,Williams S,Glasgow H. Sunburn and sun protection among young children. J Paediatr Child Health 1997;33(3): 234-7.

23. Duignan M, Signal L, Thomson G. "Good intentions, but inadequate practices"-sun protection in early childhood centres, a qualitative study from New Zealand. N Z Med J. 2014;127(1389):40-50.

24. Nieto A, Ruiz-Ramos M, Abdel-Kader L, Conde M, Camacho F. Gender differences in rising trends in cutaneous malignant melanoma in Spain,1975-98. Br J Dermatol. 2003;148(1):110-6.

25. Santmyire BR, Feldman SR, Fleischer AB Jr. Lifestyle high-risk behaviors and demographics may predict the level of participation in sun-protection behaviors and skin cancer primary prevention in the United States: results of the 1998 National Health Interview Survey. Cancer 2001 1; 92(5): 1315-24

26. Shoveller JA, Lovato CY. Measuring self-reported sunburn: challenges and recommendations. Chronic Dis Can. 2001; 22 (3-4):83-98

27. De Troya-Martín M, Blázquez-Sánchez N, Rivas-Ruiz F, Fernández-Canedo I, Rupérez-Sandoval A, Pons-Palliser J. Validación de un cuestionario en español sobre comportamientos, actitudes y conocimientos relacionados con la exposición solar: «Cuestionario a pie de playa». Actas Dermosifiliogr 2009;100(7): 586–595.

28. Escuela Andaluza de Salud Pública. Encuesta Andaluza de Salud [Internet]; 2024 [citado día de mes, año]. Disponible en: https://easp.es/info/eas/

29. Paláu-Lázaro MC, Buendía-Eisman A., Arias S., Cabrera-León A., Serrano-Ortega S. "Prevalence of melanocytic nevi in 8 to 10-year-old children in Southern Spain and analysis of associated factors". J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2011; Nov-Dec;21(6): 1558-64. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-3083.2011.04342.x.

30. Muñoz Negro J.E., Buendía Eisman A., Cabrera León A., Serrano Ortega S. "Variables associated with sun protection behaviour of preschoolers". Eur J Dermatolol 2011; 21(6): 985-90. doi: 10.1684/ejd.2011.1525.

31. Buendía-Eisman A, Fetiche-Fernández E, Muñoz-Negro JE, Cabrera-León A, Serrano-Ortega S. Evaluación de un programa de intervención escolar para la modificación del comportamiento ante la exposición solar. Actas Dermosifiliogr 2007; 98:332-44.

32. Buendía A, Arias S, Moreno JC, Cabrera-Leon A, Prieto L, Castillejo I, Conejo-Mir J. "An Internet-based programme to promote adequate UV exposure behaviour in adolescents in Spain". J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2013 Apr;27(4):442-53. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-3083.2012.04455.x.

Figure 1. Phases of the validation of the photoprotection questionnaire in children and their families.

Table 1. Distribution of Study Samples

Sociodemographic variables		Preliminary fieldwork	Final fieldwork: 1st observation	Final fieldwork: 2nd observation
Sex of respondent	Woman	77%	91.9%	85%
	Man	23%	8.1%	15%
Mean household size		4	4.2	4.3
Mean age	Mother	36.5	36.6	36.4
	Father	38.8	38.7	38.9
University education	Mother	58.3%	72.4%	70.3%
	Father	48.1%	80.4%	78.8%
Sample		109	98	60

Table 2. Factor Analysis, Mothers and Fathers (1st Observation)

Item	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4
Frequency of using a shirt	0.732	–0.27	–0.005	0.208
Frequency of using a cap or hat	0.699	0.02	–0.149	–0.331
Frequency of using sunscreen with SPF ≥15	0.691	0.184	0.227	0.020
Frequency of using shade outdoors	0.544	–0.41	–0.071	0.351
Frequency of blistering sunburns	–0.02	0.760	0.003	0.040
Estimated time you can be in the sun without burning	0.00	0.752	–0.115	0.048
Frequency of consuming semi-skim or skim milk	0.120	–0.15	0.763	–0.307
Channels through which you received photoprotection information	0.101	0.177	0.652	0.466
Frequency of outdoor physical exercise	–0.461	–0.17	0.568	–0.06
Frequency of sun exposure between 11:00 am and 4:00 pm	0.019	0.04	–0.102	0.777
Cronbach's α	0.63	0.44	0.30	—

Extraction method: principal component analysis. Rotation method: Varimax normalization with Kaiser.
Total variance explained: 61.7% (the bolded loading corresponds to the items comprising each factor).

Table 3. Factor Analysis, Children (1st Observation)

Item	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4
Frequency of using shade as photoprotection	0.782	0.024	0.011	0.001
Estimated child phototype ("imagine your child is in the sun without any protection; in that case…")	0.651	–0.147	0.206	–0.081
Frequency of using a shirt as photoprotection	0.648	0.073	–0.231	0.120

Item	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4
Frequency of using a cap or hat as photoprotection	0.645	0.454	–0.146	–0.002
Frequency of using sunscreen SPF ≥15	0.475	0.454	–0.362	–0.021
Belief that it is hard to apply sunscreen to the child more than once per day	0.127	0.800	0.085	0.107
Belief that it is difficult to protect children from the sun	–0.015	0.790	0.172	–0.106
Agreement that sunscreen stings the child's eyes	0.029	0.011	0.808	–0.055
Agreement that sunscreen is too expensive	–0.178	0.207	0.714	0.004
Frequency of painful sunburns in the children	–0.188	–0.177	–0.05	0.769
Beliefs about the risk of sun exposure in children	0.285	0.208	–0.02	0.754
Cronbach's α	0.71	0.62	0.48	0.31

Extraction method: principal component analysis. Rotation method: Varimax normalization with Kaiser. Total variance explained: 61.3% (the bolded loading corresponds to the items comprising each factor).

Table 4. Reproducibility of the Questionnaire (Qualitative Variables)

Question	Exact two-sided significance (McNemar's test)
For you, the main cause of skin cancer is…	1
The protection factor listed on sunscreens is "the ability to increase the time it takes one to burn."	0.021
Which sun burns the most?	0.625
You believe that exposing your children to the sun is	0.625
You believe that your child's likelihood of developing a skin problem someday is	0.227
Have either parent received any information in the last year about the need for sun protection?	0.065
Was this information received via leaflets?	0.508
Was this information conveyed to you by someone else?[a]	—
Was this information received from your primary care physician?	1
…from your pediatrician?	0.625
…from your dermatologist?	0.5
…from your nurse?[a]	—
…from TV, press, or radio?	0.344
…from pharmacists?	1
…from any other medium?	1

Question	Exact two-sided significance (McNemar's test)
Is there any diagnosed skin cancer in your family or your partner's family (parents, siblings, grandparents, uncles/aunts, cousins)?	1

[a] 100% of individuals answered "no" both before and after.

Table 5. Reproducibility of the Questionnaire (Quantitative Variables)

Question	Exact two-sided significance (Wilcoxon test)
How often do you use sunscreen with SPF ≥15?	0.769
How often do you use a shirt to protect yourself from the sun?	0.02
How often do you use a cap or hat to protect yourself from the sun?	0.142
How often do you use sunglasses to protect yourself from the sun?	0.79
When outdoors, how often do you seek shade to avoid the sun?	0.113
When you sunbathe, how often are you out between 11 am and 4 pm?	0.273
How long can you be in the sun without burning and without using any sun protection?	0.002
How often do you do any outdoor physical exercise?	0.403
How often do you protect yourself from the sun when doing outdoor physical exercise?	0.5
Number of cigarettes/day	0.5
How often do you consume skim or semi-skim milk?	0.417
How often per year do you vacation at the beach?	0.725
How often per year do you vacation in the mountains or countryside?	0.032
It is difficult to protect my child from the sun	0.072
Sunscreen stings my child's eyes	0.407
Sunscreen is too expensive	0.162
It is hard to put sunscreen on my child more than once a day	0.701
How many people live in your household, including you?	1
How many times have you examined your skin in the last year looking for dangerous lesions?	< 0.001
How often have your children had painful sunburns?	1
How often do you apply sunscreen with SPF to your children?	0.354
How often do you put your children in the shade to protect them from the sun?	0.456
How often do your children use a cap or hat to protect themselves from the sun?	0.164

Question	Exact two-sided significance (Wilcoxon test)
How often do your children use a shirt to protect themselves from the sun?	0.193
Imagine your children are in the sun without any protection. In that case (child …)	0.125
The hours when your children most often take sun exposure are…	0.228

TRADUCCIÓN DE LA FIGURA

(COLOR NEGRO: ESPAÑOL · AZUL: INGLÉS)

1. REVISIÓN BIBLIOGRÁFICA

Identificación variables y cuestionarios7-26

2. CONSENSO DE EXPERTOS

PRODUCCIÓN 1ª VERSIÓN CUESTIONARIO

3. TRABAJO DE CAMPO PRELIMINAR

Análisis comprensibilidad, consistencia y validez constructo (n=109)

PRODUCCIÓN 2ª VERSIÓN CUESTIONARIO

4. TRABAJO DE CAMPO DEFINITIVO

1ª OBSERVACIÓN

Mismo análisis que fase 3 (n=98)

PRODUCCIÓN 3ª VERSIÓN CUESTIONARIO

5. TRABAJO DE CAMPO DEFINITIVO

2ª OBSERVACIÓN

Mismo análisis que fase 3 (n=60) y reproducibilidad (n=32)

PRODUCCIÓN VERSIÓN FINAL CUESTIONARIO

CUESTIONARIO FOTOPROTECCIÓN

51 ítems: 14 sobre datos sociodemográficos, 8 y 12 sobre fotoprotección padres e hijos, respectivamente, 5 sobre marcadores de riesgo, 1 información recibida (padres), 5 sobre actividades preventivas y de romoción de la salud (padres), y 6 sobre conocimientos y actitudes (padres)

LITERATURE REVIEW
Identification of variables and questionnaires[7–26]

EXPERT CONSENSUS
PRODUCTION OF 1st QUESTIONNAIRE VERSION

PRELIMINARY FIELDWORK
Analysis of comprehensibility, consistency, and construct validity (n = 109)
PRODUCTION OF 2nd QUESTIONNAIRE VERSION

FINAL FIELDWORK
1st OBSERVATION
Same analyses as Phase 3 (n = 98)
PRODUCTION OF 3rd QUESTIONNAIRE VERSION

FINAL FIELDWORK
2nd OBSERVATION
Same analyses as Phase 3 (n = 60) and reproducibility (n = 32)
PRODUCTION OF FINAL QUESTIONNAIRE VERSION

SUN-PROTECTION QUESTIONNAIRE
51 items: 14 on sociodemographic data; 8 and 12 on photoprotection for parents and children, respectively; 5 on risk markers; 1 on information received (parents); 5 on preventive and health-promotion activities (parents); and 6 on knowledge and attitudes (parents).